

Jolita Ralyté · Sharma Chakravarthy ·
Mukesh Mohania · Manfred A. Jeusfeld ·
Kamalakar Karlapalem (Eds.)

LNCS 13607

Conceptual Modeling

41st International Conference, ER 2022
Hyderabad, India, October 17–20, 2022
Proceedings



Founding Editors

Gerhard Goos

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

Juris Hartmanis

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA


Editorial Board Members

Elisa Bertino

Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

Wen Gao

Peking University, Beijing, China

Bernhard Steffen 

TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany

Moti Yung 

Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

More information about this series at <https://link.springer.com/bookseries/558>


Jolita Ralyté · Sharma Chakravarthy ·
Mukesh Mohania · Manfred A. Jeusfeld ·
Kamalakar Karlapalem (Eds.)


Conceptual Modeling


41st International Conference, ER 2022
Hyderabad, India, October 17–20, 2022
Proceedings

Editors

Jolita Ralyté 
University of Geneva
Carouge, Switzerland

Sharma Chakravarthy 
The University of Texas at Arlington
Arlington, TX, USA

Mukesh Mohania 
IIIT Delhi
New Delhi, India

Manfred A. Jeusfeld 
University of Skövde
Skövde, Sweden

Kamalakar Karlapalem 
International Institute of Information
Technology Gachibowli
Hyderabad, India

ISSN 0302-9743

ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)

Lecture Notes in Computer Science

ISBN 978-3-031-17994-5

ISBN 978-3-031-17995-2 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-17995-2>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license
to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

We are pleased to welcome you to the proceedings of the 41st edition of the International Conference on Conceptual Modeling (ER 2022), which took place during October 17–20, 2022. Originally, the conference was planned to take place in the beautiful city of Hyderabad, India, but due to the uncertain COVID-19 situation it was finally held virtually.

The ER conference series aims to bring together researchers and practitioners building foundations of conceptual modeling and/or applying conceptual modeling in a wide range of software engineering fields. Conceptual modeling has never been more important in this age of uncertainty. As individuals, organizations, and nations face new and unexpected challenges, software and data must be developed that can cope with and help address this new uncertainty in an ever-faster changing world. Conceptual modeling can be used to describe, understand, and cope with increasing levels of uncertainty in our world. Conference topics of interest include the theories of concepts and ontologies underlying conceptual modeling, modeling languages, methods and tools for developing and communicating conceptual models, and techniques for transforming conceptual models into effective implementations.

This year, ER 2022 chose as an overall theme “Conceptual Modeling to Support Big Data Analytics and AI”. Big data analytics demands modeling complex data in a variety of models and accommodating the 5V’s (Volume, Velocity, Variety, Value, and Veracity). Can the conceptual modeling community seize the opportunity to meet the needs of big data analytics? Conceptual modeling helps deep understanding of data and knowledge that is the backbone of AI systems. The modern data-driven AI systems have less representation schemes for the input data and the output. Techniques that aid the conceptual understanding of data movement through deep learning models help to develop and apply these learning models.

A total of 82 papers were submitted to the main track of the conference. Each paper went through a thorough review process and received at least three reviews from members of the Program Committee. The papers with no clear decision were discussed online. The discussions were moderated by senior Program Committee members who helped us with the final selection by providing recommendations and writing meta-reviews. We are deeply grateful to all the members of the Program Committee for their competence and fairness. The results of the review process allowed us to accept 19 high-quality full papers and 11 short papers which are included in this volume.

In addition to the paper presentations organized in eight sessions, the conference program included four inspiring keynote talks: “Conceptual Modelling in the Age of Artificial Intelligence and Quantum Computing”, by Wolfgang Maaß from the Saarland University, Germany; “In an Increasingly Digital World, You Have to Put the People First”, by Bas van Gils from Strategy Alliance, The Netherlands, and Antwerp Management School, Belgium; “Modeling and Software”, by Pankaj Jalote from IIIT-Delhi, India; and “Threat Intelligence Modeling Using Graphs”, by Ashish Kundu from

Cisco Research, USA. We thank the speakers for sharing their knowledge, research achievements, and practice insights.

Besides the main track, ER 2022 hosted a Doctoral Symposium, where PhD students could present their research projects and receive advice from advanced academics, and a Forum, Demo and Poster track, allowing researchers to present novel and innovative outcomes regarding conceptual modeling.

Finally, the conference program also included five interesting tutorials and a panel on “New Frontiers for Conceptual Modeling”. We thank the presenters for transmitting their knowledge and expertise to the ER community.

Overall, organizing ER 2022 was a great pleasure, since we had an exceptional Organizing Committee. We thank all the chairs for their engagement and contribution.

We also thank Springer for their assistance in the production of the conference proceedings and EasyChair for providing an efficient conference management system. Special thanks to our sponsors and to the ER Steering Committee.

October 2022

Jolita Ralyté
Sharma Chakravarthy
Mukesh Mohania
Manfred A. Jeusfeld
Kamalakar Karlapalem

Organization

General Chairs

Kamalakar Karlapalem
Manfred A. Jeusfeld

IIT Hyderabad, India
University of Skövde, Sweden

Program Committee Chairs

Sharma Chakravarthy
Mukesh Mohania
Jolita Ralyté

University of Texas at Arlington, USA
IIT Delhi, India
University of Geneva, Switzerland

Workshop Chairs

Renata Guizzardi
Bernd Neumayr

University of Twente, The Netherlands
Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria

Tutorial Chairs

Hans-Georg Fill
Vinay Vkulkarni

University of Fribourg, Switzerland
Tata Consultancy Services, India

Panel Chairs

Vikram Goyal
Carson Woo

IIT Delhi, India
University of British Columbia, Canada

Forum, Demo and Posters Chairs

Sebastian Link
Iris Reinhartz-Berger
Jelena Zdravkovic

University of Auckland, New Zealand
University of Haifa, Israel
Stockholm University, Sweden

Doctoral Symposium Chairs

Dominik Bork
Srinath Srinivasa

TU Wien, Austria
IIT Bangalore, India

Sponsoring and Industry Chairs

Aditya Ghose	University of Wollongong, Australia
Henderik A. Proper	LIST, Luxembourg

Publicity Chairs

Judith Michael	RWTH Aachen University, Germany
Marcela Ruiz	Zurich University of Applied Sciences, Switzerland

Local Organizing Chair

P. Radha Krishna	National Institute of Technology Warangal, India
------------------	--

Web Co-chairs

Sangharatna Godbole	National Institute of Technology Warangal, India
Syed Juned Ali	TU Wien, Austria

Steering Committee

Peter P. Chen	Louisiana State University, USA
Isabelle Comyn-Wattiau	ESSEC and CNAM, France
Karen Davis	Miami University, USA
Ulrich Frank	Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany
Aditya Ghose	University of Wollongong, Australia
Giancarlo Guizzardi	Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy, and University of Twente, The Netherlands
Jennifer Horkoff	University of Gothenburg, Sweden
Matthias Jarke	RWTH Aachen University, Germany
Paul Johannesson	KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
Gerti Kappel	TU Wien, Austria
Alberto Laender	Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil
Stephen W. Liddle	Brigham Young University, USA
Tok Wang Ling	National University of Singapore, Singapore
Hui Ma	Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
Heinrich C. Mayr	Alpen-Adria-Universität Klagenfurt, Austria
Antoni Olivé	Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain
José Palazzo Moreira de Oliveira	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
Jeffrey Parsons	Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada
Oscar Pastor	Universidad Politècnica de Valencia, Spain

Sudha Ram	University of Arizona, USA
Motoshi Saeki	Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan
Peretz Shoval	Ben-Gurion University, Israel
Vítor E. Silva Souza	Federal University of Espírito Santo, Brazil
Il-Yeol Song	Drexel University, USA
Veda C. Storey	Georgia State University, USA
Juan Carlos Trujillo	University of Alicante, Spain
Yair Wand	University of British Columbia, Canada
Carson Woo	University of British Columbia, Canada
Eric Yu	University of Toronto, Canada

Senior Program Committee

Jacky Akoka	CNAM, France
Paolo Atzeni	Università Roma Tre, Italy
Stefano Ceri	Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Isabelle Comyn-Wattiau	ESSEC and CNAM, France
Karen Davis	Miami University, USA
Gill Dobbie	University of Auckland, New Zealand
Xavier Franch	Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain
Ulrich Frank	Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany
Aditya Ghose	University of Wollongong, Australia
Giancarlo Guizzardi	Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy, and University of Twente, The Netherlands
Jennifer Horkoff	University of Gothenburg, Sweden
Matthias Jarke	RWTH Aachen University, Germany
Paul Johannesson	KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
Alberto Laender	Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil
Stephen W. Liddle	Brigham Young University, USA
Sebastian Link	University of Auckland, New Zealand
Heinrich C. Mayr	Alpen-Adria-Universität Klagenfurt, Austria
John Mylopoulos	University of Toronto, Canada
Antoni Olivé	Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain
Oscar Pastor	Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain
Jeffrey Parsons	Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada
Colette Rolland	Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, France
Motoshi Saeki	Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan
Peretz Shoval	Ben-Gurion University, Israel
Pnina Soffer	University of Haifa, Israel
Veda C. Storey	Georgia State University, USA
Juan-Carlos Trujillo	University of Alicante, Spain
Carson Woo	University of British Columbia, Canada
Eric Yu	University of Toronto, Canada

Program Committee

Mara Abel	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
João Paulo Almeida	Federal University of Espirito Santo, Brazil
João Araujo	Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Portugal
Fernanda Baião	PUC-Rio, Brazil
Wolf-Tilo Balke	TU Braunschweig, Germany
Ladjel Bellatreche	LIAS, ISAE-ENSMA, France
Devis Bianchini	University of Brescia, Italy
Sandro Bimonte	INRAE, France
Dominik Bork	TU Wien, Austria
Shawn Bowers	Gonzaga University, USA
Stephane Bressan	National University of Singapore, Singapore
Robert Andrei Buchmann	Babeş-Bolyai University of Cluj Napoca, Romania
Cinzia Cappiello	Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Luca Cernuzzi	Universidad Católica, Paraguay
Suphamit Chittayasothorn	King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Thailand
Tony Clark	Aston University, UK
Dolors Costal	Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain
Fabiano Dalpiaz	Utrecht University, The Netherlands
Sergio de Cesare	University of Westminster, UK
Johann Eder	Alpen Adria Universität Klagenfurt, Austria
Vadim Ermolayev	Zaporizhzhia National University, Ukraine
Rik Eshuis	Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands
Hans-Georg Fill	University of Fribourg, Switzerland
Frederik Gailly	Ghent University, Belgium
Yunjun Gao	Zhejiang University, China
Faiez Gargouri	Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et de Multimédia de Sfax, Tunisia
Aurona Gerber	University of Pretoria, South Africa
Mohamed Gharzouli	Constantine 2 University, Algeria
Asif Qumer Gill	University of Technology Sydney, Australia
Cesar Gonzalez-Perez	Incipit CSIC, Spain
Georg Grossmann	University of South Australia, Australia
Esther Guerra	Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain
Renata Guizzardi	University of Twente, The Netherlands
Simon Hacks	University of Southern Denmark, Denmark
Sven Hartmann	Clausthal University of Technology, Germany
Martin Henkel	Stockholm University, Sweden

Shareeful Islam	Anglia Ruskin University, UK
Ivan Jureta	University of Namur, Belgium
Marite Kirikova	Riga Technical University, Latvia
Agnes Koschmider	Kiel University, Germany
Vinay Kulkarni	Tata Consultancy Services Research, India
Hui Luo	RMIT University, Australia
Hui Ma	Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
Wolfgang Maass	Saarland University, Germany
Beatriz Marín	Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain
Wolfgang Mayer	University of South Australia, Australia
Massimo Mecella	Sapienza University of Rome, Italy
Judith Michael	RWTH Aachen University, Germany
Haralambos Mouratidis	University of Essex, UK
Nanjangud Narendra	Ericsson Research, India
Selmin Nurcan	Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, France
Shawn Ogunsey	Bentley University, USA
Andreas L. Opdahl	University of Bergen, Norway
Jose M. Parente De Oliveira	Aeronautics Institute of Technology, Brazil
Barbara Pernici	Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Geert Poels	Ghent University, Belgium
Christoph Quix	Fraunhofer FIT, Germany
Manfred Reichert	University of Ulm, Germany
Hajo A. Reijers	Utrecht University, The Netherlands
Iris Reinhartz-Berger	University of Haifa, Israel
Manuel Resinas	University of Seville, Spain
Genaina Rodrigues	University of Brasilia, Brazil
Marcela Ruiz	Zurich University of Applied Sciences, Switzerland
Sourav S. Bhowmick	Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
Shazia Sadiq	University of Queensland, Australia
Melike Sah	Near East University, Cyprus
Jie Shao	University of Science and Technology of China, China
Vítor E. Silva Souza	Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Brazil
Samira Si-Said Cherfi	CNAM, France
Stefan Strecker	University of Hagen, Germany
Markus Stumptner	University of South Australia, Australia
Arnon Sturm	Ben-Gurion University, Israel
Angelo Susi	Fondazione Bruno Kessler – ICT Irst, Italy
David Taniar	Monash University, Australia
Ernest Teniente	Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain
Victoria Torres	Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain

Panos Vassiliadis	University of Ioannina, Greece
Gottfried Vossen	ERCIS, Germany
Chaokun Wang	Tsinghua University, China
Xiaoli Wang	Xiamen University, China
Yves Wautelet	Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
Hans Weigand	Tilburg University, The Netherlands
Manuel Wimmer	Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria
Robert Wrembel	Poznan University of Technology, Poland
Apostolos Zarras	University of Ioannina, Greece
Jelena Zdravkovic	Stockholm University, Sweden
Xiangmin Zhou	RMIT University, Australia
Xuan Zhou	Renmin University of China, China

Additional Reviewers

Doyinsola Afolabi	Sreedhar Reddy
Syed Juned Ali	Gabriel Rodrigues
Carlo Alberto Bono	Kristina Rosenthal
Sissi Chan	Matt Selway
Simon Curty	Vladimir A. Shekhovtsov
Karamjit Kaur	Gengyuan Shi
Jens Lechtenbörger	Sagar Sunkle
Yunkai Lou	Benjamin Ternes
Fabian Muff	Yorck Zisgen

Abstracts of Invited Keynotes

Conceptual Modelling in the Age of Artificial Intelligence and Quantum Computing

Wolfgang Maass ^{1,2}

¹ Saarland University, Germany

² German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI), Germany

wolfgang.maass@dfki.de

Keynote Abstract

Models are not true, but some are useful. Models are either used as mental constructs by individuals or they are used by groups as social constructs. Conceptual modeling has always focused on socially constructed, explicit representations that are useful for gaining shared understanding of an affair or even for designing and implementing technical systems, most of all information systems.

For mental representations of individuals, the working hypothesis of neuroscience is that mental representations are embossed into neural structures and ultimately into electric signals. Socially constructed conceptual models require explication by representations governed by some shared conceptual-modelling grammar (Wand & Weber 2001), i.e., they become social reality by information in a medium.

Different phases of conceptual modeling have been conducted in the past decades. Initially, conceptual models were fully controlled and “closed” representations, e.g., frames. This was followed by a phase of conceptual models that are unrestricted (“open”) for capturing the richness of human knowledge in general, most of all ontologies. Statistical models and machine learning models often lack direct connections to individual knowledge and socially constructed knowledge but emerge from data alone. The underlying assumption is that data is taken directly from reality and is therefore objective. Recent discussions on biases and distortions of data raise the question of the social construction of data as well. Current research on explainability and interpretability tries to build bridges between both fields. Hybrid models are an attempt in this direction by trying to merge socially constructed conceptual models with machine learning models. The success of machine learning models has initiated chip design research to develop dedicated chips that can directly support AI processing. This might have repercussions on preferred designs of information systems and machine learning models. Even more advanced are quantum computing and quantum information theory when transforming data representations into quantum representations that are accessible by quantum computing algorithms.

In this talk, common aspects between all these fields are discussed and some thoughts on research questions will be presented. A focus will be laid on the interplay between conceptual modeling and machine learning models but also some connections to advanced chip designs and quantum computing are given.

In an Increasingly Digital World, You Have to Put the People First

Bas van Gils^{1,2}

¹ Strategy Alliance, Amersfoort, The Netherlands

² Antwerp Management School, Antwerp, Belgium

bas.vangils@strategy-alliance.com

Keynote Abstract

Digital transformation is a key trend in which data plays a crucial role. As a result of the ongoing digital transformation, in line with the *law of requisite variety*, I see increased complexity and variety that helps in dealing with the challenges of today. Complexity is not bad in and of itself, as long as we have enough understanding of the organization in order to manage it effectively, and even use it to our advantage.

Three real-world cases show that organizations struggle with data/data management. Key questions in this are: (1) Do we know our data well enough in order to use it? (2) How do we balance “grip on data” with “value creation”? I will argue that effective use of models can help answer these questions. This is not a new position: several scholars have made this claim in the past as well. Very few organizations appear to have a mature modeling capability, leading to high cost, low agility, and hindering digital transformation. It is entering to ponder how this state of affairs came to be: why is modeling such an under valued skill in light of the fact that both scientific theories as well as heuristic frameworks emphasize it so strongly? Going a step further: why is “theory” such a dirty word in most organizations, up to the point where considering the use of heuristics-based frameworks is already cause for raised eyebrows and serious discussions?

There is no silver bullet that will improve the status quo: if there was, we would have found it by now. I will propose a strategy that combines a fast cycle (learning by doing) and a slow cycle (build the capability, re-learn the value of theory/models) to move forward. This generic approach can only be successful when tailored to the specific situation in an organization. Last but not least, I will argue that training and experimentation are key enablers: don’t wait to educate people when they are in the field but start already during their university education.

Modeling and Software

Pankaj Jalote

IIIT-Delhi, Okhla, New Delhi, India
jalote@iiitd.ac.in

Keynote Abstract

No complex system can be built without effective modeling. And software systems are complex. Hence, modeling is necessary for building software systems, and a range of models are used for different tasks in the software development process – each playing an important role for that task. The nature and use of models in building a system, however, depends on the nature of the system also – if the system being developed is “hardware” which is costly to change, more rigorous and detailed modeling becomes necessary. If the system being developed is “software” which is easy to change and the cost of change is not high, modeling can be at higher levels of abstraction helping develop the software solution, rather than guiding the development of the details of the system. In other words, for software it is often desirable to have a gap between models and the final solution, and while models guide the development of the solution, it may be acceptable to have the final solution diverge from the model. In such situations, reverse engineering models from the solution can also be useful. Detailed modeling is more appropriate for application domains where errors and changes in the solution are much more expensive. In such cases, domain specific modeling can be useful which may lead to executable models. However, if models are to be executable, then the modeling language becomes another programming language at a higher level of abstraction and needs to compete in other programming languages for that domain.

Threat Intelligence Modeling Using Graphs

Ashish Kundu 

Head of Cybersecurity Research, Cisco Research, San Jose, CA, USA
ashishkundu@gmail.com

Keynote Abstract

Security attacks form a system of specific flow of computation and data by one or multiple threats. Attacks follow a set of steps in a sequence. Threats work together as threat groups. Holistic 360-degree defenses against APTs often interconnect multiple threat intelligence computation and defense mechanisms. Each of these processes have a graph structure inherent to their execution. Graphs can be used to model spatio-temporal dimensions and flows of different facets of security as well as privacy. In our previous work, we have studied the use of graphs for modeling security lifecycle, attacks, attack surface as well as defense modeling. Moreover, we have also modeled threat intelligence as a system of graphs and using graph analytics and graph deep learning in order to predict, infer, extract features and information for assuring holistic security. Such work has been developed in the context of autonomous cars, AI, cloud and edge computing. In this talk, we will also explore how to use NLP and NLU on how to automatically construct such graph models for specific systems under protection/attack.

Contents

Foundations of Conceptual Modeling

A FAIR Model Catalog for Ontology-Driven Conceptual Modeling Research	3
<i>Pedro Paulo F. Barcelos, Tiago Prince Sales, Mattia Fumagalli, Claudenir M. Fonseca, Isadora Valle Sousa, Elena Romanenko, Joshua Kritz, and Giancarlo Guizzardi</i>	
Incorporating Types of Types in Ontology-Driven Conceptual Modeling	18
<i>Claudenir M. Fonseca, Giancarlo Guizzardi, João Paulo A. Almeida, Tiago Prince Sales, and Daniele Porello</i>	
Rethinking Model Representation - A Taxonomy of Advanced Information Visualization in Conceptual Modeling	35
<i>Giuliano De Carlo, Philip Langer, and Dominik Bork</i>	
Pattern Discovery in Conceptual Models Using Frequent Itemset Mining	52
<i>Mattia Fumagalli, Tiago Prince Sales, and Giancarlo Guizzardi</i>	

Ontologies and their Applications

Legal Power-Subjection Relations: Ontological Analysis and Modeling Pattern	65
<i>Cristine Griffo, Tiago Prince Sales, Giancarlo Guizzardi, and João Paulo A. Almeida</i>	
Atomically True Ontology Modelling: Residential Buildings	82
<i>Atish Maganlal and Duncan Coulter</i>	
An Ontological Analysis of Digital Technology	92
<i>Silvia Boga Gomes, Flavia Maria Santoro, and Miguel Mira da Silva</i>	
“All the Things that Come and Go, Stop and Say Hello”: Towards an ontological account of how participants enter and exit events	102
<i>Fabrcio Henrique Rodrigues, Joel Luis Carbonera, Lucas Valadares Vieira, and Mara Abel</i>	

Applications of Conceptual Modeling

- Characterizing Fake News: A Conceptual Modeling-based Approach 115
Nicolas Belloir, Wassila Ouerdane, and Oscar Pastor
- Modeling Lifelong Pathway Co-construction 130
*Nicolas Ringuet, Patrick Marcel, Nicolas Labroche, Thomas Devogele,
 and Christophe Bortolaso*
- LIREM: A Generic Framework for Effective Online Video Novelty
 Detection 145
Chengkun He, Xiangmin Zhou, and Chen Wang
- When IT Service Adoption Meets Behavioral Economics: Addressing
 Present Bias Challenges 161
Iris Reinhartz-Berger, Doron Kliger, Eliad Amsalem, and Alan Hartman

Data Modeling and Analysis

- Discovery of Spatial Association Rules from Fuzzy Spatial Data 179
*Henrique P. da Silva, Thiago D. R. Felix, Pedro V. A. B. de Venâncio,
 and Anderson C. Carniel*
- A Comprehensive Approach for the Conceptual Modeling of Genomic Data ... 194
Anna Bernasconi, Alberto García S., Stefano Ceri, and Oscar Pastor
- A Deep Learning Approach for Ideology Detection and Polarization
 Analysis Using COVID-19 Tweets 209
Md Yasin Kabir and Sanjay Madria
- Effective Generation of Relational Schema from Multi-Model Data
 with Reinforcement Learning 224
Gongsheng Yuan, Jiaheng Lu, and Zhengtong Yan

Business Process

- Ontology-Supported Modeling of Bots in Robotic Process Automation 239
Maximilian Völker and Mathias Weske
- Stra2Bis: A Model-Driven Method for Aligning Business Strategy
 and Business Processes 255
Rene Noel, Jose Ignacio Panach, Marcela Ruiz, and Oscar Pastor

Online Decision Mining and Monitoring in Process-Aware Information Systems	271
<i>Beate Scheibel and Stefanie Rinderle-Ma</i>	

OPerA: Object-Centric Performance Analysis	281
<i>Gyunam Park, Jan Niklas Adams, and Wil M. P. van der Aalst</i>	

Quality and Performance

Bidirectional Relation Attention for Entity Alignment Based on Graph Convolutional Network	295
<i>Yayao Zuo, Minghao Zhan, Yang Zhou, and Peilin Zhan</i>	

A Behavioural Analysis of Metadata Use in Evaluating the Quality of Repurposed Data	310
<i>Hui Zhou, Lei Han, Gianluca Dermatini, Marta Indulska, and Shazia Sadiq</i>	

Modeling Context for Data Quality Management	325
<i>Flavia Serra, Verónica Peralta, Adriana Marotta, and Patrick Marcel</i>	

A Modeling Rule for Improving the Performance of Graph Models	336
<i>Dietrich Steinmetz, Felix Merz, Gerrit Burmester, Hui Ma, and Sven Hartmann</i>	

Security, Privacy and Risk Management

Object Normal Form, Fourth Normal Form and Their Application to Database Security	349
<i>Sebastian Link</i>	

An Ontology of Security from a Risk Treatment Perspective	365
<i>Ítalo Oliveira, Tiago Prince Sales, Riccardo Baratella, Mattia Fumagalli, and Giancarlo Guizzardi</i>	

Modeling Cybercrime with UFO: An Ontological Analysis of Non-Consensual Pornography Cases	380
<i>Mattia Falduti and Cristine Griffio</i>	

Goals and Requirements

Modeling Rates of Change and Aggregations in Runtime Goal Models	397
<i>Rebecca Morgan, Simon Pulawski, Matt Selway, Wolfgang Mayer, Georg Grossmann, Markus Stumptner, Aditya Ghose, and Ross Kyprianou</i>	

Trying to Elicit and Assign Goals to the Right Actors	413
<i>Anouck Chan, Anthony Fernandes Pires, and Thomas Polacsek</i>	
Law Modeling for Fairness Requirements Elicitation in Artificial Intelligence Systems	423
<i>Ana Lavallo, Alejandro Maté, Juan Trujillo, and Jorge García-Carrasco</i>	
Author Index	433