

Ido Roll · Danielle McNamara ·
Sergey Sosnovsky · Rose Luckin ·
Vania Dimitrova (Eds.)

LNAI 12749

Artificial Intelligence in Education

22nd International Conference, AIED 2021
Utrecht, The Netherlands, June 14–18, 2021
Proceedings, Part II

2 Part II

AIED 2021



 Springer

Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence

12749

Subseries of Lecture Notes in Computer Science

Series Editors

Randy Goebel

University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

Yuzuru Tanaka

Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

Wolfgang Wahlster

DFKI and Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany

Founding Editor

Jörg Siekmann

DFKI and Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany


More information about this subseries at <http://www.springer.com/series/1244>

Ido Roll · Danielle McNamara ·
Sergey Sosnovsky · Rose Luckin ·
Vania Dimitrova (Eds.)

Artificial Intelligence in Education


22nd International Conference, AIED 2021
Utrecht, The Netherlands, June 14–18, 2021
Proceedings, Part II

Editors

Ido Roll 
Technion – Israel Institute of Technology
Haifa, Israel

Sergey Sosnovsky 
Utrecht University
Utrecht, The Netherlands

Vania Dimitrova
University of Leeds
Leeds, UK

Danielle McNamara 
Arizona State University
Tempe, AZ, USA

Rose Luckin
London Knowledge Lab
London, UK

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)
Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence
ISBN 978-3-030-78269-6 ISBN 978-3-030-78270-2 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-78270-2>

LNCS Sublibrary: SL7 – Artificial Intelligence

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

The 22nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIED 2021), originally planned for Utrecht, the Netherlands, was held virtually during June 2021. AIED 2021 was the latest in a longstanding series of yearly international conferences for the presentation of high-quality research into ways to enhance student learning through applications of artificial intelligence, human computer interaction, and the learning sciences.

The theme for the AIED 2021 conference was “Mind the Gap: AIED for Equity and Inclusion.” Over the past decades, racial and other bias-driven inequities have persisted or increased, diversity remains low in many educational and vocational contexts, and educational gaps have widened. Despite efforts to address these issues, biases based on factors such as race and gender persist. These issues have come to the forefront with recent crises around the world. In this conference, we reflected on issues of equity, diversity, and inclusion in regards to the educational tools and algorithms that we build, how we assess the efficacy and impact of our applications, theoretical frameworks, and the AIED society. The use of intelligent educational applications has increased, particularly within the past few years. As a community, development and assessment practices mindful of potential (and likely) inequities are necessary. Likewise, planned diversity, equity, and inclusion practices are necessary within the AIED society and home institutions and companies.

There were 168 submissions as full papers to AIED 2020, of which 40 were accepted as full papers (10 pages) with virtual oral presentation at the conference (an acceptance rate of 23.8%), and 66 were accepted as short papers (4 pages). Of the 41 papers directly submitted as short papers, 12 were accepted. Each submission was reviewed by at least three Program Committee (PC) members. In addition, submissions underwent a discussion period (led by a leading reviewer) to ensure that all reviewers’ opinions would be considered and leveraged to generate a group recommendation to the program chairs. The program chairs checked the reviews and meta-reviews for quality and, where necessary, requested that reviewers elaborate their review. Final decisions were made by carefully considering both meta-review scores (weighed more heavily) and the discussions, as well as by rereading many of the papers. Our goal was to conduct a fair process and encourage substantive and constructive reviews without interfering with the reviewers’ judgment.

Beyond paper presentations and keynotes, the conference also included the following:

- An Industry and Innovation track, intended to support connections between industry (both for-profit and non-profit) and the research community.
- A series of six workshops across a range of topics, including: empowering education with AI technology, intelligent textbooks, challenges related to education in AI (K-12), and optimizing human learning.

- A Doctoral Consortium track, designed to provide doctoral students with the opportunity to obtain feedback on their doctoral research from the research community.
- A Student Forum, funded by the Schmidt Foundation, that supported undergraduate students in learning about AIED, its past, present, and future challenges, and helped them make connections within the community. Special thanks go to Springer for sponsoring the AIED 2020 Best Paper Award. We also wish to acknowledge the wonderful work of the AIED 2020 Organizing Committee, the PC members, and the reviewers who made this conference possible. This conference was certainly a community effort and a testament to the community's strength.

April 2021

Ido Roll
Danielle McNamara
Sergey Sosnovsky
Rose Luckin
Vania Dimitrova

Organization

General Conference Chairs

Rose Luckin University College London, UK
Vania Dimitrova University of Leeds, UK

Program Co-chairs

Ido Roll Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Israel
Danielle McNamara Arizona State University, USA

Industry and Innovation Track Co-chairs

Steve Ritter Carnegie Learning, USA
Inge Molenaar Radboud University, The Netherlands

Doctoral Consortium Track Co-chairs

Janice Gobert Rutgers Graduate School of Education, USA
Tanja Mitrovic University of Canterbury, New Zealand

Workshop and Tutorials Co-chairs

Mingyu Feng WestEd, USA
Alexandra Cristea Durham University, UK
Zitao Liu TAL Education Group, China

Interactive Events Co-chairs

Mutlu Cukurova University College London, UK
Carmel Kent Educate Ventures, UK
Bastiaan Heeren Open University of the Netherlands, the Netherlands

Local Co-chairs

Sergey Sosnovsky Utrecht University, the Netherlands
Johan Jeuring Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Proceedings Chair

Irene-Angelica Chounta University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany

Publicity Chair

Elle Yuan Wang Arizona State University, USA

Web Chair

Isaac Alpizar-Chacon Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Senior Program Committee Members

Ryan Baker	University of Pennsylvania, USA
Tiffany Barnes	North Carolina State University, USA
Emmanuel Blanchard	IDÚ Interactive Inc., Canada
Christopher Brooks	University of Michigan, USA
Min Chi	BeiKaZhouLi, USA
Sidney D’Mello	University of Colorado Boulder, USA
Benedict du Boulay	University of Sussex, UK
Janice Gobert	Rutgers, USA
Peter Hastings	DePaul University, USA
Neil Heffernan	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Ulrich Hoppe	University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany
Judy Kay	The University of Sydney, Australia
H. Chad Lane	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA
James Lester	North Carolina State University, USA
Noboru Matsuda	North Carolina State University, USA
Gordon McCalla	University of Saskatchewan, Canada
Bruce McLaren	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Agathe Merceron	Beuth University of Applied Sciences Berlin, Germany
Tanja Mitrovic	University of Canterbury, New Zealand
Inge Molenaar	Radboud University, the Netherlands
Roger Nkambou	Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada
Amy Ogan	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Andrew Olney	University of Memphis, USA
Luc Paquette	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA
Abelardo Pardo	University of South Australia, Australia
Zach Pardos	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Niels Pinkwart	Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany
Kaska Porayska-Pomsta	University College London, UK
Martina Rau	University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA
Ma. Mercedes T. Rodrigo	Ateneo de Manila University, Philippines
Jonathan Rowe	North Carolina State University, USA
Olga C. Santos	UNED, Spain
Sergey Sosnovsky	Utrecht University, the Netherlands
Erin Walker	Arizona State University, USA
Beverly Park Woolf	University of Massachusetts, USA

Kalina Yacef
Diego Zapata-Rivera

The University of Sydney, Australia
Educational Testing Service, USA

Program Committee Members

Laura Allen	University of New Hampshire, USA
Antonio R. Anaya	Universidad Nacional de Educacion a Distancia, Spain
Roger Azevedo	University of Central Florida, USA
Esma Aïmeur	University of Montreal, Canada
Michelle Banawan	Ateneo de Davao University, Philippines
Michelle Barrett	Edmentum, USA
Ig Bittencourt	Federal University of Alagoas, Brazil
Nigel Bosch	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA
Anthony F. Botelho	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Jesus G. Boticario	UNED, Spain
Kristy Elizabeth Boyer	University of Florida, USA
Bert Bredeweg	University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands
Simon Buckingham Shum	University of Technology Sydney, Australia
Geiser Chalco Chalco	ICMC/USP, Brazil
Maiga Chang	Athabasca University, Canada
Pankaj Chavan	IIT Bombay, India
Guanliang Chen	Monash University, Australia
Penghe Chen	Beijing Normal University, China
Heeryung Choi	University of Michigan, USA
Irene-Angelica Chounta	University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany
Andrew Clayphan	The University of Sydney, Australia
Keith Cochran	DePaul University, USA
Mark G. Core	University of Southern California, USA
Alexandra Cristea	Durham University, UK
Veronica Cucuiat	University College London, UK
Mutlu Cukurova	University College London, UK
Rafael D. Araújo	Universidade Federal de Uberlandia, Brazil
Mihai Dascalu	University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania
Kristin Decerbo	Khan Academy, USA
Anurag Deep	IIT BOMBAY, India
Carrie Demmans Epp	University of Alberta, Canada
Diego Dermeval	Federal University of Alagoas, Brazil
Tejas Dhamecha	IBM, India
Barbara Di Eugenio	University of Illinois at Chicago, USA
Daniele Di Mitri	DIPF - Leibniz Institute for Research and Information in Education, Germany
Vania Dimitrova	University of Leeds, UK
Fabiano Dorça	Universidade Federal de Uberlandia, Brazil
Nia Dowell	University of California, Irvine, USA
Mingyu Feng	WestEd, USA
Rafael Ferreira Mello	Federal Rural University of Pernambuco, Brazil

Carol Forsyth	Educational Testing Service, USA
Reva Freedman	Northern Illinois University, USA
Kobi Gal	Ben Gurion University, Israel and University of Edinburgh, UK
Cristiano Galafassi	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
Dragan Gasevic	Monash University, Australia
Isabela Gasparini	UDESC, Brazil
Elena Gaudioso	UNED, Spain
Michail Giannakos	Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
Niki Gitinabard	North Carolina State University, USA
Ashok Goel	Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Alex Sandro Gomes	Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brazil
Art Graesser	University of Memphis, USA
Monique Grandbastien	Universite de Lorraine, France
Nathalie Guin	Université de Lyon, France
Gahgene Gweon	Seoul National University, South Korea
Rawad Hammad	University of East London, UK
Jason Harley	McGill University, Canada
Yusuke Hayashi	Hiroshima University, Japan
Bastiaan Heeren	Open University of the Netherlands, the Netherlands
Martin Hlostá	The Open University, UK
Tomoya Horiguchi	Kobe University, Japan
Sharon Hsiao	Arizona State University, USA
Stephen Hutt	University of Pennsylvania, USA
Paul Salvador Inventado	California State University, Fullerton, USA
Seiji Isotani	University of São Paulo, Brazil
Patricia Jaques	UNISINOS, Brazil
Srecko Joksimovic	University of South Australia, Australia
Akihiro Kashihara	The University of Electro-Communications, Japan
Sandra Katz	University of Pittsburgh, USA
Carmel Kent	Educate Ventures, UK
Simon Knight	University of Technology Sydney, Australia
Ken Koedinger	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Kazuaki Kojima	Teikyo University, Japan
Emmanuel Awuni Kolog	University of Ghana Business School, Ghana
Amruth Kumar	Ramapo College of New Jersey, USA
Tanja Käser	EPFL, Switzerland
Susanne Lajoie	McGill University, Canada
Sébastien Lallé	The University of British Columbia, Canada
Andrew Lan	University of Massachusetts Amherst, USA
Jim Larimore	Riiid Labs, USA
Nguyen-Thinh Le	Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany
Blair Lehman	Educational Testing Service, USA
Sharona Levy	University of Haifa, Israel
Fuhua Lin	Athabasca University, Canada

Diane Litman	University of Pittsburgh, USA
Zitao Liu	TAL Education Group, China
Yu Lu	Beijing Normal University, China
Vanda Luengo	Sorbonne Université, France
Collin Lynch	North Carolina State University, USA
Laura Malkiewich	Columbia University, USA
Ye Mao	North Carolina State University, USA
Leonardo Brandão Marques	University of São Paulo, Brazil
Mirko Marras	EPFL, Switzerland
Roberto Martinez Maldonaldo	Monash University, Australia
Smit Marvaniya	IBM, India
Jeffrey Matayoshi	McGraw Hill ALEKS, USA
Manolis Mavrikis	University College London, UK
Katie McCarthy	Georgia State University, USA
Danielle McNamara	Arizona State University, USA
Sein Minn	Inria, France
Kazuhisa Miwa	Nagoya University, Japan
Riichiro Mizoguchi	Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Japan
Camila Morais Canellas	Sorbonne Université, France
Bradford Mott	North Carolina State University, USA
Kasia Muldner	Carleton University, Canada
Anabil Munshi	Vanderbilt University, USA
Susanne Narciss	TU Dresden, Germany
Benjamin Nye	University of Southern California, USA
Ruth Okoilu	North Carolina State University, USA
Korinn Ostrow	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Ranilson Paiva	Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Brazil
Radek Pelánek	Masaryk University, Czech Republic
Elvira Popescu	University of Craiova, Romania
Thomas Price	North Carolina State University, USA
Ramkumar Rajendran	IIT Bombay, India
Genaro Rebolledo-Mendez	Tecnologico de Monterrey, Mexico
Steven Ritter	Carnegie Learning, USA
Ido Roll	Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Israel
Rod Roscoe	Arizona State University, USA
Rinat Rosenberg-Kima	Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Israel
José A. Ruipérez Valiente	University of Murcia, Spain
Vasile Rus	University of Memphis, USA
Demetrios Sampson	Curtin University, Australia
Mohammed Saqr	University of Eastern Finland, Finland
Zahava Scherz	Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel
Flippo Sciarrone	Roma Tre University, Italy
Shitian Shen	North Carolina State University, USA
Yu Shengquan	Beijing Normal University, China

Lei Shi	Durham University, UK
Sean Siqueira	Federal University of the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Caitlin Snyder	Vanderbilt University, USA
Trausan-Matu Stefan	Politehnica University of Bucharest, Romania
Angela Stewart	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Thepchai Supnithi	NECTEC, Thailand
Pierre Tchounikine	University of Grenoble, France
K. P. Thai	Age of Learning, USA
Craig Thompson	The University of British Columbia, Canada
Armando Toda	University of São Paulo, Brazil
Richard Tong	Yixue Education Inc, China
Maomi Ueno	The University of Electro-Communications, Japan
Hedderik van Rijn	University of Groningen, the Netherlands
Kurt Vanlehn	Arizona State University, USA
Felisa Verdejo	Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Spain
Rosa Vicari	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
Elle Yuan Wang	Arizona State University, USA
Chris Wong	University of Technology Sydney, Australia
Simon Woodhead	Eedi, UK
Sho Yamamoto	Kindai University, Japan
Xi Yang	NCSU, USA
Bernard Yett	Vanderbilt University, USA
Ningyu Zhang	Vanderbilt University, USA
Qian Zhang	University of Technology Sydney, Australia
Guojing Zhou	University of Colorado Boulder, USA
Jianlong Zhou	University of Technology Sydney, Australia
Gustavo Zurita	Universidad de Chile, Chile

Additional Reviewers

Abdelshiheed, Mark	Frost, Stephanie
Afzal, Shazia	Gao, Ge
Anaya, Antonio R.	Garg, Anchal
Andres-Bray, Juan Miguel	Gauthier, Andrea
Arslan, Burcu	Gaweda, Adam
Barthakur, Abhinava	Green, Nick
Bayer, Vaclav	Gupta, Itika
Chung, Cheng-Yu	Gurung, Ashish
Cucuiat, Veronica	Gutiérrez Y. Restrepo, Emmanuelle
Demmans Epp, Carrie	Haim, Aaron
Diaz, Claudio	Hao, Yang
DiCerbo, Kristen	Hastings, Peter
Erickson, John	Heldman, Ori
Finocchiaro, Jessica	Jensen, Emily
Fossati, Davide	Jiang, Weijie

Publicity Chair

Manolis Mavrikis University College London, UK

Executive Committee

Ryan S. J. d. Baker University of Pennsylvania, USA
Min Chi North Carolina State University, USA
Cristina Conati The University of British Columbia, Canada
Jeanine A. Defalco CCDC-STTC, USA
Vania Dimitrova University of Leeds, UK
Rawad Hammad University of East London, UK
Neil Heffernan Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Christothea Herodotou The Open University, UK
Akihiro Kashihiro University of Electro-Communications, Japan
Amruth Kumar Ramapo College of New Jersey, USA
Diane Litman University of Pittsburgh, USA
Zitao Liu TAL Education Group, China
Rose Luckin University College London, UK
Judith Masthoff Utrecht University, the Netherlands
Noboru Matsuda Texas A&M University, USA
Tanja Mitrovic University of Canterbury, New Zealand
Amy Ogan Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Kaska Porayska-Pomsta University College London, UK
Ma. Mercedes T. Rodrigo Ateneo De Manila University, Philippines
Olga Santos UNED, Spain
Ning Wang University of Southern California, USA

—

Keynotes

Scrutability, Control and Learner Models: Foundations for Learner-Centred Design in AIED

Judy Kay 

The University of Sydney, Australia
judy.kay@sydney.edu.au

Abstract. There is a huge, and growing, amount of personal data that has the potential to help people learn. There is also a growing and broad concern about the ways that personal data is harvested and used. This makes it timely to draw on the decades of AIED research towards creating systems and interfaces that enable learners to truly harness and control their learning data. This invited keynote will present a whirlwind tour of my learner modelling research and a selection of other work that has influenced my own towards the goal of putting people in control of their own learning data and its use. I will explain the rationale for my focus on scrutability, as a foundation for users to harness and control their learning data, especially for learning contexts.

I will share key lessons from my work for creating AIED systems that are deeply learner centred. Building on this, I will present a vision for AIED, one that takes a learner-centred perspective to designing AIED systems and recognises the inherent limitations of learning data. This is a broad view of AIED that returns its founding goals to create advanced learning technologies.

Keywords. AIED · Learner models · Personalised learning systems · Scrutability · User control · User-centred design · Holistic design · Software engineering · Human-computer interaction

Augmenting Learning with Smart Design, Smart Systems, and Intelligence

Daniel M. Russell



Google Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA

Abstract. We all want better educational systems, no matter what the implementation might be. We tend to think of building ever more capable AI systems as the way to do this, but what is AI? It's rapidly becoming fancy software engineering; the definition continues to shift over time. What CAN we do in education to help students? My answer: Provide great, well-designed content; put it in a framework where others can use it; wrap it within a social system that lets students learn effectively, no matter the place or time; teach students how to learn. From my perspective, we have already built enormously effective information providing systems, but teaching students how to teach themselves remains key.

Daniel Russell is Google's Senior Research Scientist for Search Quality and User Happiness in Mountain View. He earned his PhD in computer science, specializing in Artificial Intelligence. These days he realizes that amplifying human intelligence is his real passion. His day job is understanding how people search for information, and the ways they come to learn about the world through Google. Dan's current research is to understand how human intelligence and artificial intelligence can work together to better than either as a solo intelligence. His 20% job is teaching the world to search more effectively. His MOOC, PowerSearchingWithGoogle.com, is currently hosting over 3,000 learners / week in the course. In the past 3 years, 4.5 million students have attended his online search classes, augmenting their intelligence with AI. His instructional YouTube videos have a cumulative runtime of over 350 years (24 hours/day; 7 days/week; 365 weeks/year). His new book, *The Joy of Search*, tells intriguing stories of how to be an effective searcher by going from a curious question to a reliable answer, showing how to do online research with skill and accuracy. Please note that the first paragraph of a section or subsection is not indented. The first paragraphs that follows a table, figure, equation etc. does not have an indent, either.

Invited Panels

Mind the Gap: The Bidirectional Relationship Between Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) and Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Shima Salehi¹  and Rod D. Roscoe² 

¹ Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
salehi@stanford.edu

² Arizona State University, Mesa, AZ 85212, USA
rod.roscoe@asu.edu

Abstract. This panel discussion session explores the potential bidirectional relationship between (a) artificial intelligence (AI) methods and (b) diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) approaches in education.

Keywords. Artificial Intelligence · Inclusion · Equity

1 A Bidirectional Relationship

This panel discussion session explores the potential bidirectional relationship between (a) artificial intelligence (AI) methods and (b) diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) approaches in education. Participants will consider how AI methods can promote DEI in learning environments (AI for DEI) and how DEI approaches can improve AI analysis and interpretation to better meet the needs of diverse learners (DEI for AI).

1.1 AI for DEI

AI methods are particularly powerful for investigating complex relationships among variables, and have the potential to characterize, analyze, and make predictions regarding diverse learners in various contexts. These affordances can empower educators and researchers to more accurately monitor and identify learners' needs and progress. In turn, these insights might inform more equitable learning. For example, AI techniques enable the rapid analysis of rich data (e.g., interactions with simulations) that can inform formative assessments and feedback that are personalized to individual learners.

1.2 DEI for AI

As a potential paradigm shift, artificial intelligence in education (AIED) experts are increasingly attending to aspects of diversity, equity, and inclusion in their conceptualizations, methods, and applications. For instance, there is a growing awareness of algorithmic bias, such that algorithms and automated systems can create or exacerbate discriminatory or prejudicial outcomes. Similarly, there is increasing awareness that conclusions based on statistical means can be misleading or exclusionary for learners who do not conform to “average” or majority demographics.

To address such concerns, AIED scholars must consider alternative approaches to studying educational phenomena, analyzing data, and drawing meaningful conclusions. For example, models may need to be disaggregated to include more nuanced variables and effects related to demographic factors and social identities. Simultaneously, intersectional approaches are needed to represent learners’ multiple identities (and associated power, privilege, and history), and to interpret these effects within our findings and models. Consequently, this paradigm shift in AIED is not only poised to contribute to personalized learning, but to do so for a much broader diversity of learners.

2 Panel Organization

The panel comprises four presenters and two organizers who represent diverse yet complementary backgrounds related to DEI and AIED. Presenters (alphabetical order) include **Nia Dowell** (Assistant Professor, School of Education, University of California-Irvine [1]; **Rose Luckin** (Professor of Learner Centered Design, UCL Knowledge Lab, London) [2]; **Chris Piech** (Assistant Professor, Computer Science and Education, Stanford University) [3]; and **Marcelo Worsley** (Assistant Professor, Education and Social Policy, Northwestern University) [4]. The organizers include **Shima Salehi** (Assistant Professor, Graduate School of Education, Stanford University) [5]; and **Rod D. Roscoe** (Associate Professor, Fulton Schools of Engineering, Arizona State University) [6].

Presenters will first share their experiences regarding the bidirectional nature of DEI and AI in various contexts. Next, presenters and organizers will discuss questions submitted by the audience and questions emerging from the panelists. This interactive format will allow for a more inclusive session by incorporating opinions and experience of the wide-ranging audience. This diversity is crucial as the topic is emerging, nascent, but of significance to the future of the AIED community.

References

1. Dowell, N., Lin, Y., Godfrey, A., Brooks, C.: Promoting inclusivity through time-dynamic discourse analysis in digitally-mediated collaborative learning. In: Isotani, S. et al. (eds.)

- AIED 2019. LCNS, vol. 11625, pp. 207–219. Springer, Cham (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23204-7_18
2. Holmes, W., Bektik, D., Woolf, B., Luckin, R.: Ethics in AIED: who cares? In: Isotani, S. et al. (eds.) AIED 2019. LCNS, vol. 11625, pp. 25–29. Springer, Cham (2019)
 3. Piech, C. et al.: Co-teaching computer science across borders: human-centric learning at scale. In: 7th ACM Conference on Learning @ Scale 2020, pp. 103–113. ACM (2020)
 4. Worsley, M, Bar-El, D.: Inclusive making: designing tools and experiences to promote accessibility and redefine making. *Comput. Sci. Educ.* (2020).
 5. Salehi, S., Cotner, S., Ballen, C.: Variation in incoming academic preparation: consequences for minority and first-generation students. *Front. Educ.* **5**, 552364 (2020)
 6. Roscoe, R.D., Chiou, E.K., Wooldridge, A.R.: *Advancing Diversity, Inclusion, and Social Justice Through Human Systems Engineering*. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2020)

Research-Based Digital-First Assessments and the Future of Education

Alina A. von Davier¹, Valerie Shute², Jill Burstein³,
Michelle Barrett⁴, and Saad Khan⁵

¹ Duolingo

² Florida State University

³ Educational Testing Service

⁴ Edmentum

⁵ FineTune Learning

Abstract. AI, learning engineering, computational Psychometrics, and big data coupled with numerous technology breakthroughs propose a new paradigm for education. From adaptive learning systems to digital-first -testing with automated content generation and automatic scoring - the possibilities for efficiency, scalability, and access are promising. The unprecedented disruption of COVID-19 leaves little doubt that advances in learning sciences and technology can augment the in-classroom educational experience. Digital-first assessments, sometimes called intelligent assessments are a new generation of tests where the technological advances and AI affordances are used to (re)create comprehensive assessments that are adaptive, efficient, rigorous, valid, and, most distinctively, attuned to perfect the user's experience. Digital-first assessments may be integrated into other systems (school systems, LMS, etc) being part of the new Internet of Education (IoE), where through integrative frameworks and standards one can optimize the support for each student while protecting their privacy. Stealth assessments through the use of process data from interactive tasks and multimodal data sources are moving from research labs into practice.

The panelists will share their research, provide evidence of how these new methodologies work, and engage the audience in a thought-provoking discussion on the impact of the new tests on education in general.

Keywords. Computational psychometrics · Stealth assessment · Automated writing evaluation · Digital-first assessment · Generating assessment

1 Computational Psychometrics as an Integrative Framework for Digital-First Assessments

In 2015, von Davier coined the term “computational psychometrics” (CP) to describe the fusion of psychometric theories and data-driven algorithms for improving the

inferences made from technology-supported learning and assessment systems (LAS). Meanwhile, “computational” [insert discipline] has become a common occurrence. In CP the process data collected from virtual environments should be intentional: we should design & provide ample opportunities for people to display the skills we want to measure. CP uses the expert-developed theory as a map for the measurement efforts using process data. CP is also interested in the knowledge discovery from the (little, big) process data. Psychometric theories and data-driven algorithms are fused to make accurate and valid inferences in complex, virtual learning and assessment environments.

2 Stealth Assessment—What, Why, and How?

Proposed summary of the presentation: Games can be powerful vehicles to support learning, but this hinges on getting the assessment part right. In the past several years, we have designed, developed, and evaluated a number of stealth assessments in games to see: (a) if they provide valid and reliable estimates of students’ developing competencies (e.g., in the areas of qualitative physics understanding, creativity, and persistence); (b) if students can actually learn anything as a function of gameplay; (c) the added value of inserting engaging learning supports (cognitive and affective) into the mix; and (d) if the games are still fun with the embedded assessments and supports. My presentation will cover the topic of stealth assessment in games to measure and support important 21st-century competencies. I’ll describe why it’s important, what it is, and how to develop/accomplish it. Time permitting, I’ll also provide examples and videos in the context of a game we developed called Physics Playground.

3 Extending Automated Writing Evaluation for Integrative Frameworks

I will speak to systems and systems of systems that provide a digital-first assessment of the evidence of learning (either with or without testing) suitable for informing multiple adaptive decision-making loops in the educational ecosystem, including those at the learner, educator, school, district, and/or state levels. I will share a few exemplar theories of action and a conceptual model for such systems. I will provide an overview of industry standards that have been designed to facilitate the implementation of such systems to date and describe gaps and challenges that remain. Finally, I will reflect on research findings to date on hybrid systems that integrate digital adaptive assessment and adaptive instruction and describe a few elements I believe to be important for the research agenda moving forward.

4 Platforms and Standards in Support of Digital-First (Adaptive) Assessments

I will speak to systems and systems of systems that provide a digital-first assessment of the evidence of learning (either with or without testing) suitable for informing multiple adaptive decision-making loops in the educational ecosystem, including those at the learner, educator, school, district, and/or state levels. I will share a few exemplar theories of action and a conceptual model for such systems. I will provide an overview of industry standards that have been designed to facilitate the implementation of such systems to date and describe gaps and challenges that remain. Finally, I will reflect on research findings to date on hybrid systems that integrate digital adaptive assessment and adaptive instruction and describe a few elements I believe to be important for the research agenda moving forward.

5 Generating Assessment Items and Content with Artificial Intelligence

Educational assessment, learning, and publishing companies dedicate significant resources for the creation of original content for use in formative and summative tests, as well as in-classroom learning or open educational resources. Manual content creation can be laborious, highly dependent on domain expertise, and difficult to scale up. This bottleneck has come into sharper focus during the current pandemic, which has accelerated the shift to remote learning and heightened concerns of assessment items exposure.

I will share my experiences in artificial intelligence-based automated item and content generation. I will speak to the advances in natural language processing (models such as BERT [1], GPT3 [2]) that have enabled progress in this exciting field as well as current limitations to this technology and share thoughts on future directions. I will also discuss how AI-based automated item and content generation can result in scalable quality standardization, and open new possibilities for formative assessments and personalized learning experiences.

References

1. Devlin, J., Chang, M.W., Lee, K., Toutanova, K.: Bert: pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding, arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805 (2018). Author, F.: Article title. *Journal* 2(5), 99–110 (2016)
2. Brown, T.B., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J., Dhariwal, P., Agarwal, S.: Language models are few-shot learners, arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.14165 (2020)

Workshops

Supporting Lifelong Learning

Oluwabunmi (Adewoyin) Olakanmi¹, Oluwabukola Mayowa Ishola²,
Julita Vassileva², Ifeoma Adaji², and Zapata-Rivera Diego³

¹ Department of Computing Science, Concordia University of Edmonton

² Department of Computer Science, University of Saskatchewan

³ Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ

oluwabunmi.olakanmi@concordia.ab.ca, {bukola.ishola,
jiv, ifeoma.adaji}@usask.ca, dzapata@ets.org

Workshop Description

To achieve the theme of AIED 2021 “Mind the Gap: AIED for Equity and Inclusion“, advanced learning technology research needs to support lifelong learners with the knowledge and skills needed to succeed in a rapidly changing world. The proliferation of social media and the recent need for everyone to transit to online learning due to the pandemic have made millions of lifelong learners turn to online learning communities (OLCs). With the availability of big data about learners from the OLCs and the availability of the enabling technologies, opportunities arise to provide personalized support to learners. During the first international workshop on supporting lifelong learning (SLL) co-located with the 20th international conference on Artificial intelligence in education (AIED 2019) some emerging themes were discussed in the areas of learner models, learner feedback, privacy and sustainability of lifelong learning systems.

The goal of the second workshop on supporting lifelong learning is to build on the first workshop by fostering further discussions around optimizing the learner models of lifelong learners to achieve their learning goals. SLL 2021 workshop aims at providing a forum for researchers to critically discuss ways to advance research in supporting lifelong learning beyond the walls of traditional educational systems. The second workshop will cover areas that address the application of advanced technologies like social recommendation, adaptive technologies, collaborative tools, persuasive strategies, learning analytics and educational data mining to support lifelong learners. This workshop aims at enhancing lifelong learning through collaboration, educational games, personalized recommendation, self-motivated learning and educational diagnosis of lifelong learners; and also, to review studies addressing lifelong learning.

Based on the category of papers, time will be allotted for presentation and questions. At the end of the workshop, there will be a discussion on workshop presentations, challenges and the ways forward, and we will develop a co-authored document to summarize the workshop papers. In summary, SLL 2021 will serve to expand the frontiers of knowledge within the advanced learning technology community, by providing opportunities for researchers to establish long term collaborations that can help

to expand on studies that support lifelong learning. In addition, we look forward to the possibility of publishing a Special Issue in a relevant journal with extended versions of the accepted papers in the workshop from SLL 2019 and SLL 2021.

The First International Workshop on Multimodal Artificial Intelligence in Education

Daniele Di Mitri¹, Roberto Martínez-Maldonado², Olga C. Santos³,
Jan Schneider¹, Khaleel Asyraaf Mat Sanusi⁴, Mutlu Cukurova⁵,
Daniel Spikol⁶, Inge Molenaar⁷, Michail Giannakos⁸,
Roland Klemke^{4,9}, and Roger Azevedo¹⁰

¹ DIPF - Leibniz Institute for Research and Information in Education, Frankfurt,
Germany

² Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

³ Spanish National University for Distance Education, Madrid, Spain

⁴ Cologne Game Lab, TH Köln, Cologne, Germany

⁵ University College London, UK

⁶ University of Copenhagen, Denmark

⁷ Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

⁸ Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

⁹ Open University of the Netherlands, Heerlen, The Netherlands

¹⁰ University of Central Florida, USA

Abstract. This workshop aims at gathering new insights around the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems and autonomous agents for education and learning leveraging multimodal data sources. The workshop is entitled Multimodal Artificial Intelligence in Education (MAIEd). It builds upon the Cross-MMLA workshop series at the Learning Analytics & Knowledge conference. The workshop calls for new empirical studies, even if in their early stages of developments. It also welcomes novel experimental designs, theoretical contributions and practical demonstrations which can prove the use of multimodal and multi-sensor devices “beyond mouse and keyboard” in learning contexts with the purpose of automatic feedback generation, adaptation and personalisation in learning. Through a call for proposals, we seek to engage the scientific community in opening up the scope of AI in Education towards novel and diverse data sources.

1 Introduction

At the MAIEd workshop, we want to discuss which scientific, state-of-the-art ideas and approaches are being pursued and which impacts we expect on educational technologies and education. We are especially interested in contributions targeting the intersection of these two fields of AI and multimodal interaction. We are looking for original contributions that advance the state of the art in theories, technologies, methods, and knowledge towards the development of multimodal intelligent tutors,

multimodal intelligence augmentation in teaching and learning and multimodal applications for self-regulated learning. The full text of the Call for Proposal and more information about the MAIEd 2021 workshop can be found on the workshop website <http://maied.edutec.science/http://maied.edutec.science/>.

Challenges and Advances in Team Tutoring Workshop

Anne M. Sinatra, Benjamin Goldberg, and Jeanine A. DeFalco

U.S. Army Combat Capabilities Development Command (DEVCOM) Soldier
Center

{anne.m.sinatra.civ,benjamin.s.goldberg.civ,
jeanine.a.defalco.civ}@mail.mil

Workshop Description

The “Challenges and Advances in Team Tutoring” workshop is a follow on to two previous AIED conference workshops held in person in 2018 and 2019 [1, 2]. It was clear from the workshops that team tutoring is a diverse and on-going field of study that is in constant development. Therefore, the current workshop specifically focuses on the Challenges and Advances in Team Tutoring. In line with one of those familiar challenges experienced this last year, the current workshop is virtual instead of in-person. With education and work settings shifting to distributed environments, understanding these impacts on collaborative learning and team development through tutoring are critical. The current virtual workshop covers all topic areas related to team tutoring, and provides an opportunity to discuss advances in the field that have been made by both new and returning presenters.

The workshop has three topic areas/themes: 1) Towards Intelligent Tutoring Systems for Teams in Distributed Environments, 2) Challenges and Lessons Learned in Creating Intelligent Tutoring Systems for Teams, and 3) Intelligent Tutoring System based Collaborative Problem Solving and Learning. Each topic area will include presentations of work and periods of open discussion to identify commonalities in approaches. Further gaps will be identified and addressed for future attention.

The workshop is expected to be of interest to those in academia, industry, and government in the field of team tutoring, along with those who would like to learn more about it. The expected outcomes of the workshop include an identification of current gaps and challenges in team tutoring, addressing those challenges across varying contexts and use cases, and defining next steps for the AIED community as they work towards maturing team tutoring solutions.

Acknowledgement. The statements and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect the position or the policy of the United States Government, and no official endorsement should be inferred.

References

1. Sinatra, A.M., DeFalco, J.A. (eds): Proceedings of the Assessment and Intervention during Team Tutoring Workshop, London, England, 30 June 2018. <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2153>
2. Sinatra, A.M., DeFalco, J.A. (eds): Proceedings of the Approaches and Challenges in Team Tutoring Workshop, Chicago, IL, 29 June 2019. <http://CEUR-WS.org/Vol-2501>

Third Workshop on Intelligent Textbooks

Sergey Sosnovsky¹, Peter Brusilovsky², Richard G. Baraniuk³,
and Andrew S. Lan⁴

¹ Utrecht University, Princetonplein 5, Utrecht 3584 CC, the Netherlands
s.a.sosnovsky@uu.nl

² University of Pittsburgh, 135 North Bellefield Ave., Pittsburgh, PA. 15260,
USA
peterb@pitt.edu

³ Rice University, 6100 Main Street, Houston, TX 77005, USA
richb@rice.edu

⁴ University of Massachusetts Amherst, 140 Governors Dr., Amherst, MA
01003, USA
andrewlan@cs.umass.edu

Abstract. Textbooks have evolved over the last several decades in many aspects. Most textbooks can be accessed online, many of them freely. They often come with libraries of supplementary educational resources or online educational services built on top of them. As a result of these enrichments, new research challenges and opportunities emerge that call for the application of AIED methods to enhance digital textbooks and learners' interaction with them. Intelligent textbooks have the potential to benefit a large number of learners in online learning settings, especially after the COVID-19 pandemic. However, a number of research challenges have to be addressed before this vision become a reality. How to facilitate the access to textbooks and improve the reading process? What can be extracted from textbook content and data-mined from the logs of students interacting with it? The Third Workshop on Intelligent Textbooks focuses on these and other research questions related to intelligent textbooks. It seeks to bring together researchers working on different aspects of learning technologies to establish intelligent textbooks as a new, interdisciplinary research field.

Keywords. Digital and online textbooks · Open educational resources (OER) · Modelling and representation of textbook content · Assessment generation · Adaptive presentation and navigation · Content curation and enrichment

The transition of textbooks from printed copies to digital formats has facilitated numerous attempts to enrich them with various kinds of interactive functionalities including search and annotation, interactive content modules, and automated assessments. New research challenges and opportunities emerge that call for the application of AI methods to enhance digital textbooks and learners' interaction with them. Intelligent digital textbooks have the potential to significantly enhance the online learning experience, the importance of which is highlighted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Our workshop seeks to unify research efforts across several different fields,

including AI, human-computer interaction, information retrieval, intelligent tutoring systems, and user modeling. This workshop brings together researchers working on different aspects of intelligent textbook technologies in these fields and beyond to establish intelligent textbooks as a new, interdisciplinary research field.

Advancing AI-Powered Education through Industry-Academia Cooperation

Richard Tong^{1,2}, Avron Barr¹, Xiangen Hu^{1,3}, Robby Robson^{1,4},
and Brandt Redd^{1,5}

¹ IEEE Learning Technology Standards Committee

² Squirrel AI Learning

³ University of Memphis

⁴ Eduworks

⁵ MatchMaker Education Labs

The goal of “Advancing AI-Powered Education through Industry-Academia Cooperation” workshop co-sponsored by IEEE Learning Technology Standard Committee and Artificial Intelligence Standards Committee is to explore opportunities to empower educational systems with the most advanced AI technologies through industry and academia collaboration and to explore how to standardize on these systems, technologies, and practices, including adaptive learning systems, virtual classrooms, and systems that use machine learning to model student interactions and preferences to improve learning outcomes.

Programs:

- S01 Workshop Opening Remarks and Introduction
- S02* How technical standards and infrastructure support equity and inclusion. (“Mind the Gap: AIED for Equity and Inclusion”)
- S03* How Learning Technology Standards Committee and Artificial Intelligence Committee can work together to bring AI to the forefront of education innovation - IEEE LTSC and AISC
- S4** How Industry and Research Community can benefit from advanced Virtual Classroom Technology and IEEE Standards
- S05 Explainable AI
- S06 Digital Textbook and Mobile Learning
- S07 Adaptive Instructional System @LTSC
- S08 Enterprise Learning Record
- S09 Interoperable Learning Record
- S10 LTSC standards Alpha Soup (xAPI, Virtual Classroom, Competencies, ..)
- S11* Cutting-Edge real-world projects. Where the industry is going?
- S12 AIS Consortium Overview and Practices
- S13* Academia and Industry Joint Research - Trend and Applications
- S14* Joint research with Industry and Academia
- S15 AI Architecture in Action

- S16 Intelligent Robot in Classroom
- S17 Final Remarks
 - * <Panel>
 - ** <Keynote>

Contents – Part II

Keynotes

Scrutability, Control and Learner Models: Foundations for Learner-Centred Design in AIED	3
<i>Judy Kay</i>	

Short Papers

Open Learner Models for Multi-activity Educational Systems	11
<i>Solmaz Abdi, Hassan Khosravi, Shazia Sadiq, and Ali Darvishi</i>	
Personal Vocabulary Recommendation to Support Real Life Needs	18
<i>Victoria Abou-Khalil, Brendan Flanagan, and Hiroaki Ogata</i>	
Artificial Intelligence Ethics Guidelines for K-12 Education: A Review of the Global Landscape	24
<i>Cathy Adams, Patti Pente, Gillian Lemermeyer, and Geoffrey Rockwell</i>	
Quantitative Analysis to Further Validate WC-GCMS, a Computational Metric of Collaboration in Online Textual Discourse	29
<i>Adetunji Adeniran and Judith Masthoff</i>	
Generation of Automatic Data-Driven Feedback to Students Using Explainable Machine Learning	37
<i>Muhammad Afzaal, Jalal Nouri, Aayesha Zia, Panagiotis Papapetrou, Uno Fors, Yongchao Wu, Xiu Li, and Rebecka Weegar</i>	
Interactive Personas: Towards the Dynamic Assessment of Student Motivation within ITS	43
<i>Ishrat Ahmed, Adam Clark, Stefania Metzger, Ruth Wylie, Yoav Bergner, and Erin Walker</i>	
Agent-Based Classroom Environment Simulation: The Effect of Disruptive Schoolchildren’s Behaviour Versus Teacher Control over Neighbours	48
<i>Khulood Alharbi, Alexandra I. Cristea, Lei Shi, Peter Tymms, and Chris Brown</i>	
Integration of Automated Essay Scoring Models Using Item Response Theory	54
<i>Itsuki Aomi, Emiko Tsutsumi, Masaki Uto, and Maomi Ueno</i>	

Towards Sharing Student Models Across Learning Systems 60
Ryan S. Baker, Bruce M. McLaren, Stephen Hutt, J. Elizabeth Richey, Elizabeth Rowe, Ma. Victoria Almeda, Michael Mogessie, and Juliana M. AL. Andres

Protecting Student Privacy with Synthetic Data from Generative Adversarial Networks 66
Peter Bautista and Paul Salvador Inventado

Learning Analytics and Fairness: Do Existing Algorithms Serve Everyone Equally? 71
Vaclav Bayer, Martin Hlosta, and Miriam Fernandez

Exploiting Structured Error to Improve Automated Scoring of Oral Reading Fluency 76
Beata Beigman Klebanov and Anastassia Loukina

Data Augmentation for Enlarging Student Feature Space and Improving Random Forest Success Prediction 82
Timothy H. Bell, Christel Dartigues-Pallez, Florent Jaillet, and Christophe Genolini

The School Path Guide: A Practical Introduction to Representation and Reasoning in AI for High School Students 88
Sara Guerreiro-Santalla, Francisco Bellas, and Oscar Fontenla-Romero

Kwame: A Bilingual AI Teaching Assistant for Online SuaCode Courses . . . 93
George Boateng

Early Prediction of Children’s Disengagement in a Tablet Tutor Using Visual Features 98
Bikram Boote, Mansi Agarwal, and Jack Mostow

An Educational System for Personalized Teacher Recommendation in K-12 Online Classrooms 104
Jiahao Chen, Hang Li, Wenbiao Ding, and Zitao Liu

Designing Intelligent Systems to Support Medical Diagnostic Reasoning Using Process Data 109
Elizabeth B. Cloude, Nikki Anne M. Ballelos, Roger Azevedo, Analia Castiglioni, Jeffrey LaRochelle, Anya Andrews, and Caridad Hernandez

Incorporating Item Response Theory into Knowledge Tracing 114
Geoffrey Converse, Shi Pu, and Suely Oliveira

Automated Model of Comprehension V2.0.	119
<i>Dragos-Georgian Corlatescu, Mihai Dascalu, and Danielle S. McNamara</i>	
Pre-course Prediction of At-Risk Calculus Students.	124
<i>James Cunningham, Raktim Mukhopadhyay, Rishabh Ranjit Kumar Jain, Jeffrey Matayoshi, Eric Cosyn, and Hasan Uzun</i>	
Examining Learners’ Reflections over Time During Game-Based Learning. . .	129
<i>Daryn A. Dever, Elizabeth B. Cloude, and Roger Azevedo</i>	
Examining the Use of a Teacher Alerting Dashboard During Remote Learning.	134
<i>Rachel Dickler, Amy Adair, Janice Gobert, Huma Hussain-Abidi, Joe Olsen, Mariel O’Brien, and Michael Sao Pedro</i>	
Capturing Fairness and Uncertainty in Student Dropout Prediction – A Comparison Study.	139
<i>Efthymoulos Drousiotis, Panagiotis Pentaliotis, Lei Shi, and Alexandra I. Cristea</i>	
Dr. Proctor: A Multi-modal AI-Based Platform for Remote Proctoring in Education.	145
<i>Ahmed E. Elshafey, Mohammed R. Anany, Amr S. Mohamed, Nourhan Sakr, and Sherif G. Aly</i>	
Multimodal Trajectory Analysis of Visitor Engagement with Interactive Science Museum Exhibits	151
<i>Andrew Emerson, Nathan Henderson, Wookhee Min, Jonathan Rowe, James Minogue, and James Lester</i>	
Analytics of Emerging and Scripted Roles in Online Discussions: An Epistemic Network Analysis Approach.	156
<i>Máverick Ferreira, Rafael Ferreira Mello, Rafael Dueire Lins, and Dragan Gašević</i>	
Towards Automatic Content Analysis of Rhetorical Structure in Brazilian College Entrance Essays	162
<i>Rafael Ferreira Mello, Giuseppe Fiorentino, Pércles Miranda, Hilário Oliveira, Mladen Raković, and Dragan Gašević</i>	
Contrasting Automatic and Manual Group Formation: A Case Study in a Software Engineering Postgraduate Course.	168
<i>Giuseppe Fiorentino, Pércles Miranda, André Nascimento, Ana Paula Furtado, Henrik Bellhäuser, Dragan Gašević, and Rafael Ferreira Mello</i>	

Aligning Expectations About the Adoption of Learning Analytics in a Brazilian Higher Education Institution 173
Samantha Garcia, Elaine Cristina Moreira Marques, Rafael Ferreira Mello, Dragan Gašević, and Taciana Pontual Falcão

Interactive Teaching with Groups of Unknown Bayesian Learners. 178
Carla Guerra, Francisco S. Melo, and Manuel Lopes

Multi-task Learning Based Online Dialogic Instruction Detection with Pre-trained Language Models 183
Yang Hao, Hang Li, Wenbiao Ding, Zhongqin Wu, Jiliang Tang, Rose Luckin, and Zitao Liu

Impact of Predictive Learning Analytics on Course Awarding Gap of Disadvantaged Students in STEM 190
Martin Hlosta, Christothea Herodotou, Vaclav Bayer, and Miriam Fernandez

Evaluation of Automated Image Descriptions for Visually Impaired Students. 196
Anett Hoppe, David Morris, and Ralph Ewerth

Way to Go! Effects of Motivational Support and Agents on Reducing Foreign Language Anxiety 202
Daneih Ismail and Peter Hastings

“I didn’t copy his code”: Code Plagiarism Detection with Visual Proof 208
Samuel John and George Boateng

An Epistemic Model-Based Tutor for Imperative Programming. 213
Amruth N. Kumar

Long Term Retention of Programming Concepts Learned Using Tracing Versus Debugging Tutors. 219
Amruth N. Kumar

Facilitating the Implementation of AI-Based Assistive Technologies for Persons with Disabilities in Vocational Rehabilitation: A Practical Design Thinking Approach 224
Marco Kähler, Rolf Feichtenbeiner, and Susan Beudt

Quantifying the Impact of Severe Weather Conditions on Online Learning During the COVID-19 Pandemic. 229
Ezekiel Adriel Lagmay and Ma. Mercedes T. Rodrigo

I-Mouse: A Framework for Player Assistance in Adaptive Serious Games . . .	234
<i>Riya Lalwani, Ashish Chouhan, Varun John, Prashant Sonar, Aakash Mahajan, Naresh Pendyala, Alexander Streicher, and Ajinkya Prabhune</i>	
Parent-EMBRACE: An Adaptive Dialogic Reading Intervention	239
<i>Arun Balajjee Lekshmi Narayanan, Ju Eun Lim, Tri Nguyen, Ligia E. Gomez, M. Adelaida Restrepo, Chris Blais, Arthur M. Glenberg, and Erin Walker</i>	
Using Fair AI with Debiased Network Embeddings to Support Help Seeking in an Online Math Learning Platform	245
<i>Chenglu Li, Wanli Xing, and Walter Leite</i>	
A Multimodal Machine Learning Framework for Teacher Vocal Delivery Evaluation.	251
<i>Hang Li, Yu Kang, Yang Hao, Wenbiao Ding, Zhongqin Wu, and Zitao Liu</i>	
Solving ESL Sentence Completion Questions via Pre-trained Neural Language Models	256
<i>Qiongqiong Liu, Tianqiao Liu, Jiafu Zhao, Qiang Fang, Wenbiao Ding, Zhongqin Wu, Feng Xia, Jiliang Tang, and Zitao Liu</i>	
DanceTutor: An ITS for Coaching Novice Ballet Dancers Using Pose Recognition of Whole-Body Movements	262
<i>Lurlynn Maharaj-Pariagsingh and Phaedra S. Mohammed</i>	
Tracing Embodied Narratives of Critical Thinking.	267
<i>Shitanshu Mishra, Rwitajit Majumdar, Aditi Kothiyal, Prajakt Pande, and Jayakrishnan Madathil Warriem</i>	
Multi-armed Bandit Algorithms for Adaptive Learning: A Survey	273
<i>John Mui, Fuhua Lin, and M. Ali Akber Dewan</i>	
Paraphrasing Academic Text: A Study of Back-Translating Anatomy and Physiology with Transformers	279
<i>Andrew M. Olney</i>	
PAKT: A Position-Aware Self-attentive Approach for Knowledge Tracing . . .	285
<i>Yuanxin Ouyang, Yucong Zhou, Hongbo Zhang, Wenge Rong, and Zhang Xiong</i>	
Identifying Struggling Students by Comparing Online Tutor Clickstreams . . .	290
<i>Ethan Prihar, Alexander Moore, and Neil Heffernan</i>	

Exploring Dialogism Using Language Models	296
<i>Stefan Ruseti, Maria-Dorinela Dascalu, Dragos-Georgian Corlatescu, Mihai Dascalu, Stefan Trausan-Matu, and Danielle S. McNamara</i>	
EduPal Leaves No Professor Behind: Supporting Faculty via a Peer-Powered Recommender System	302
<i>Nourhan Sakr, Aya Salama, Nadeen Tameesh, and Gihan Osman</i>	
Computer-Supported Human Mentoring for Personalized and Equitable Math Learning	308
<i>Peter Schaldenbrand, Nikki G. Lobczowski, J. Elizabeth Richey, Shivang Gupta, Elizabeth A. McLaughlin, Adetunji Adeniran, and Kenneth R. Koedinger</i>	
Internalisation of Situational Motivation in an E-Learning Scenario Using Gamification.	314
<i>Philipp Schaper, Anna Riedmann, and Birgit Lugin</i>	
Learning Association Between Learning Objectives and Key Concepts to Generate Pedagogically Valuable Questions	320
<i>Machi Shimmei and Noboru Matsuda</i>	
Exploring the Working and Effectiveness of Norm-Model Feedback in Conceptual Modelling – A Preliminary Report	325
<i>Loek Spitz, Marco Kragten, and Bert Bredeweg</i>	
A Comparative Study of Learning Outcomes for Online Learning Platforms	331
<i>Francois St-Hilaire, Nathan Burns, Robert Belfer, Muhammad Shayan, Ariella Smofsky, Dung Do Vu, Antoine Frau, Joseph Potochny, Farid Faraji, Vincent Pavero, Neroli Ko, Ansona Onyi Ching, Sabina Elkins, Anush Stepanyan, Adela Matajova, Laurent Charlin, Yoshua Bengio, Iulian Vlad Serban, and Ekaterina Kochmar</i>	
Explaining Engagement: Learner Behaviors in a Virtual Coding Camp	338
<i>Angela E. B. Stewart, Jaemarie Solyst, Amanda Buddemeyer, Leshell Hatley, Sharon Henderson-Singer, Kimberly Scott, Erin Walker, and Amy Ogan</i>	
Using AI to Promote Equitable Classroom Discussions: The TalkMoves Application	344
<i>Abhijit Suresh, Jennifer Jacobs, Charis Clevenger, Vivian Lai, Chenhao Tan, James H. Martin, and Tamara Sumner</i>	
Investigating Effects of Selecting Challenging Goals	349
<i>Faiza Tahir, Antonija Mitrović, and Valerie Sotardi</i>	

Modeling Frustration Trajectories and Problem-Solving Behaviors in Adaptive Learning Environments for Introductory Computer Science	355
<i>Xiaoyi Tian, Joseph B. Wiggins, Fahmid Morshed Fahid, Andrew Emerson, Dolly Bounajim, Andy Smith, Kristy Elizabeth Boyer, Eric Wiebe, Bradford Mott, and James Lester</i>	
Behavioral Phenotyping for Predictive Model Equity and Interpretability in STEM Education	361
<i>Marcus Tyler, Alex Liu, and Ravi Srinivasan</i>	
Teaching Underachieving Algebra Students to Construct Models Using a Simple Intelligent Tutoring System	367
<i>Kurt VanLehn, Fabio Milner, Chandrani Banerjee, and Jon Wetzel</i>	
Charisma and Learning: Designing Charismatic Behaviors for Virtual Human Tutors	372
<i>Ning Wang, Aditya Jajodia, Abhilash Karpurapu, and Chirag Merchant</i>	
AI-Powered Teaching Behavior Analysis by Using 3D-MobileNet and Statistical Optimization	378
<i>Ruhan Wang, Jiahao Lyu, Qingyun Xiong, and Junqi Guo</i>	
Assessment2Vec: Learning Distributed Representations of Assessments to Reduce Marking Workload	384
<i>Shuang Wang, Amin Beheshti, Yufei Wang, Jianchao Lu, Quan Z. Sheng, Stephen Elbourn, Hamid Alinejad-Rokny, and Elizabeth Galanis</i>	
Toward Stable Asymptotic Learning with Simulated Learners	390
<i>Daniel Weitekamp, Erik Harpstead, and Kenneth Koedinger</i>	
A Word Embeddings Based Clustering Approach for Collaborative Learning Group Formation	395
<i>Yongchao Wu, Jalal Nouri, Xiu Li, Rebecka Weegar, Muhammad Afzaal, and Aayesha Zia</i>	
Intelligent Agents Influx in Schools: Teacher Cultures, Anxiety Levels and Predictable Variations	401
<i>R. Yamamoto Ravenor</i>	
WikiMorph: Learning to Decompose Words into Morphological Structures	406
<i>Jeffrey T. Yarbro and Andrew M. Olney</i>	
Individualization of Bayesian Knowledge Tracing Through Elo-infusion	412
<i>Michael Yudelson</i>	

Self-paced Graph Memory Network for Student GPA Prediction and Abnormal Student Detection. 417
Yue Yun, Huan Dai, Ruoqi Cao, Yupei Zhang, and Xuequn Shang

Using Adaptive Experiments to Rapidly Help Students 422
Angela Zavaleta-Bernuy, Qi Yin Zheng, Hammad Shaikh, Jacob Nogas, Anna Rafferty, Andrew Petersen, and Joseph Jay Williams

A Comparison of Hints vs. Scaffolding in a MOOC with Adult Learners. 427
Yiqiu Zhou, Juan Miguel Andres-Bray, Stephen Hutt, Korinn Ostrow, and Ryan S. Baker

An Ensemble Approach for Question-Level Knowledge Tracing 433
Aaysha Zia, Jalal Nouri, Muhammad Afzaal, Yongchao Wu, Xiu Li, and Rebecka Weegar

Industry and Innovation

Scaffolds and Nudges: A Case Study in Learning Engineering Design Improvements. 441
Stephen E. Fancsali, Martina Pavelko, Josh Fisher, Leslie Wheeler, and Steven Ritter

Condensed Discriminative Question Set for Reliable Exam Score Prediction 446
Jung Hoon Kim, Jineon Baek, Chanyou Hwang, Chan Bae, and Juneyoung Park

Evaluating the Impact of Research-Based Updates to an Adaptive Learning System 451
Jeffrey Matayoshi, Eric Cosyn, and Hasan Uzun

Back to the Origin: An Intelligent System for Learning Chinese Characters 457
Jinglei Yu, Jiachen Song, Yu Lu, and Shengquan Yu

Doctoral Consortium

Automated Assessment of Quality and Coverage of Ideas in Students’ Source-Based Writing 465
Yanjun Gao and Rebecca J. Passonneau

Impact of Intelligent Tutoring System (ITS) on Mathematics Achievement Using ALEKS 471
Rashmi Khazanchi

Designing and Testing Assessments and Scaffolds for Mathematics
Practices in Science Inquiry 476
Joe Olsen and Janice Gobert

Contextual Safeguarding in Education: Bayesian Network Risk Analysis
for Decision Support 482
Matthew Woodruff and Graham Feek

Author Index 487