Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence

Edited by J. Siekmann

Subseries of Lecture Notes in Computer Science

462

G. Gottlob W. Nejdl (Eds.)

Expert Systems in Engineering

Principles and Applications

International Workshop Vienna, Austria, September 24–26, 1990 Proceedings



Springer-Verlag

Berlin Heidelberg New York London Paris Tokyo Hong Kong Barcelona

Editors

Georg Gottlob Wolfgang Nejdl Christian Doppler Laboratory for Expert Systems Department of Computer Science, Technical University of Vienna Paniglgasse 16, A-1040 Vienna, Austria



CR Subject Classification (1987): I.2.1, I.2.3-5, I.2.8, I.6, J.6

ISBN 3-540-53104-1 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York ISBN 0-387-53104-1 Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in other ways, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is only permitted under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and a copyright fee must always be paid. Violations fall under the prosecution act of the German Copyright Law.

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1990 Printed in Germany

Printing and binding: Druckhaus Beltz, Hemsbach/Bergstr. 2145/3140-543210 - Printed on acid-free paper

Preface

The application of expert systems in a technical environment has been an important topic in the last years. Techniques for this area have been described in all artificial intelligence conferences in more or less detail.

This volume contains the final versions of papers that were presented at the International Workshop on Expert Systems in Engineering held on three days in September 1990 in Vienna. Out of 49 papers submitted, 15 papers were accepted as long paper and 4 as short papers, all of which are reprinted in this volume.

Of course it is not possible to cover all facets of such a large area during one workshop, so the papers presented mainly focus on four distinct areas: model-based diagnosis (8 papers + 1 invited talk), planning/design/scheduling (5 papers), general reasoning (3 papers) and applications (3 papers).

The members of a panel discussion described and discussed applications of several of these expert system techniques in the steel industry. Abstracts of their presentations have also been included.

We thank all who have submitted a paper to this workshop and are grateful to the members of the program committee and the additional referees, who contributed a lot to the success of this workshop. The workshop was sponsored by the Austrian Industries in the context of the Christian Doppler Laboratory for Expert Systems and took place at the Technical University of Vienna.

We think the included papers will enable the reader to identify important topics and results in this field and hope that the publication of these results will further stimulate research in this rapidly changing and expanding area.

Vienna, September 1990

Georg Gottlob, Wolfgang Nejdl

Program Committee

James L. Alty	Andrea Bonarini
Bruno Buchberger	Helder Coelho
Johan de Kleer	Jürgen Dorn
Boi Faltings	Gerhard Friedrich
Francesco Gardin	Georg Gottlob
Giovanni Guida	Larry Kerschberg
Hermann Krallmann	Roy Leitch
Nicola Muscettola	Wolfgang Nejdl
Olivier Raiman	Peter Raulefs
Marco Somalvico	Peter Struss
Norbert Theuretzbacher	A Min Tjoa
Hans Voss	Thomas Weigert
Brian C. Williams	

Additional Referees

Franz Barachini Oskar Dressler Hartmut Freitag A Waisanen Christian Breiteneder Thomas Eiter Mark Shirley

Contents

Preface



V

Model-Based Diagnosis

Characterizing Diagnoses	
Johan de Kleer, Alan K. Mackworth, Raymond Reiter	1
An Interval Propagation and Conflict Recognition Engine for Diagnosing	
Continuous Dynamic Systems	
Philippe Dague, Olivier Jehl, Patrick Taillibert	16
A New Diagnosis Approach by Deduction and Abduction	
Béchir El. Ayeb, Pierre Marquis, Michaël Rusinowitch	32
Diagnoses as Coherent Assumption Sets	
Oskar Dressler	47
SIDIA: Extending Prediction Based Diagnosis to Dynamic Models	
Thomas Guckenbiehl, Gisela Schäfer-Richter	53
Hypothesis Classification, Abductive Diagnosis and Therapy	
Gerhard Friedrich, Georg Gottlob, Wolfgang Nejdl	69
A Generic Measurement Proposer	
Hartmut Freitag	79
A Circumscribed Diagnosis Engine	
Olivier Raiman	90
Discrepancies — Theories and Applications of Model-Based Diagnosis	
(invited talk)	
Peter Struss, Oskar Dressler	102
Planning/Design/Scheduling	
Planning in Polynomial Time	
Christer Bäckström, Inger Klein	103
Interaction-Based Invention: Designing Novel Devices from	
First Principles	
Brian C. Williams	119

Constraint Propagation Issues in Automated Design Jean Patrick Tsang	135
Integrating Inductive Learning and Simulation in Rule-based Scheduling Sang-Chan Park, Selwyn Piramuthu, Narayan Raman, Michael J. Shaw	152
CRONOS-III: Requirements for a Knowledge-Based Scheduling Tool Covering a Broad Class of Production Environments Ulrico Canzi, Giovanni Guida, Giuseppe Maifredi, Emilio Paolucci,	
Marina Poggio	168
General Reasoning	
Resolution-Based Reasoning for Fuzzy Logic Thomas J. Weigert	176
Match-Time Predictability in Real-Time Production Systems Franz Barachini	190
Constructing Decision Trees from Examples and Their Explanation-Based Generalizations	
Kai Zercher	204
Applications	
Dynamic Constraint Satisfaction in a Bridge Design System	

 Kefeng Hua, Boi Faltings, Djamila Haroud, Graham Kimberley,

 Ian Smith
 217

 Application of Model-based Diagnosis to Machine Tools
 233

 Günter Spur, Sabine Weiß
 233

 Adaptive Rule-based Optimisation of Combustion in
 241

Panel Discussion

Expert System Application in the VA Stahl Linz GmbH	
F. Blümelhuber	249

Expert System Development for Intelligent Monitoring of	
Blast Furnace Operations	
A. Bulsari, L. Karilainen, H. Saxén	251
Scheduling in a Steelmaking Plant	
Dorothea Czedik, Jürgen Dorn, Alexandra Eder	253
Total Production Control of BF-MILL with Expert Systems in NKK	
Yasutsugu Ogura, Hiroyuki Hamada	255
AI-Knowledge Based Systems at BHP CPD	
David Stirling	257
Scheduling of Melts in a LD-Steel Plant	
K. Stohl, W. Snopek	259

Characterizing Diagnoses¹

Johan de Kleer

Palo Alto Research Center 3333 Coyote Hill Road, Palo Alto CA 94304 USA e-mail: deKleer@xerox.com Alan K. Mackworth² University of British Columbia Vancouver, B.C. V6T 1W5, Canada

e-mail: mack@cs.ubc.ca

Raymond Reiter²

University of Toronto Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A4, Canada e-mail: reiter@ai.toronto.cdu

Abstract

Most approaches to model-based diagnosis describe a diagnosis for a system as a set of failing components that explains the symptoms. In order to characterize the typically very large number of diagnoses, usually only the minimal such sets of failing components are represented. This method of characterizing all diagnoses is inadequate in general, in part because not every superset of the faulty components of a diagnosis necessarily provides a diagnosis. In this paper we analyze the notion of diagnosis in depth exploiting the notions of implicate/implicant and prime implicate/implicant. We use these notions to propose two alternative approaches for addressing the inadequacy of the concept of minimal diagnosis. First, we propose a new concept, that of kernel diagnosis, which is free of the problems of minimal diagnosis. Second, we propose to restrict the axioms used to describe the system to ensure that the concept of minimal diagnosis is adequate.

¹Corrected (as of June 29, 1988) version of the AAAI-90 paper.

²Fellow, Canadian Institute for Advanced Research.

1 Introduction

The diagnostic task is to determine why a correctly designed system is not functioning as it was intended — the explanation for the faulty behavior being that the particular system under consideration is at variance in some way with its design. One of the main subtasks of diagnosis is to determine what could be wrong with a system given the observations that have been made.

Most approaches to model-based diagnosis [4] characterize all the diagnoses for a system as the minimal sets of failing components which explain the symptoms. Although this method of characterizing diagnoses is adequate for diagnostic approaches which model only the correct behavior of components, it does not generalize. For example, it does not necessarily extend to approaches which incorporate models of faulty behavior [21] or which incorporate strategies for exonerating components [16]. In particular, not every superset of the faulty components of a diagnosis necessarily provides a diagnosis. In this paper we analyze the notion of diagnoses. First, we propose two approaches for addressing the inadequacy of minimal diagnoses. First, we propose an alternative notion, that of kernel diagnosis, which is free of the problems of minimal diagnosis. Second, we propose to restrict the axioms used to describe the system to ensure that the concept of minimal diagnosis is adequate.

The extended version of this paper [7] expands on the results, includes proofs for all the theorems, develops restrictions on the system description that allow the use of minimal diagnosis, and uses the approach to analyze current model-based diagnostic systems in more detail.

2 Problems with minimal diagnosis

Insofar as possible we follow Reiter's [17] framework.

Definition 1 A system is a triple (SD, COMPS, OBS) where:

- 1. SD, the system description, is a set of first-order sentences.
- 2. COMPS, the system components, is a finite set of constants.
- 3. OBS, a set of observations, is a set of first-order sentences.

Most model-based diagnosis papers [5, 6, 10, 16, 17, 21] define a diagnosis to be a set of failing components with all other components presumed to be behaving normally. We represent a diagnosis as a conjunction which explicitly indicates whether each component is normal or abnormal. This representation of diagnosis captures the same intuitions as the previous definitions but generalizes more naturally.