

# Supporting Distributed Transaction Processing Over Mobile and Heterogeneous Platforms

A Dissertation  
Presented to  
The Academic Faculty

By

**Wanxia Xie**

In Partial Fulfillment  
Of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science

Georgia Institute of Technology  
December 2005

Copyright © Wanxia Xie 2005

# Supporting Distributed Transaction Processing Over Mobile and Heterogeneous Platforms

Approved By:

Dr. Shamkant B. Navathe, Advisor  
College of Computing  
*Georgia Institute of Technology*

Dr. Edward Omiecinski  
College of Computing  
*Georgia Institute of Technology*

Dr. Wai Gen Yee  
Department of Computer Science  
*Illinois Institute of Technology*

Dr. Leo Mark  
College of Computing  
*Georgia Institute of Technology*

Dr. Sushil K. Prasad  
Department of Computer Science  
*Georgia State University*

Date Approved: November 7, 2005

*To my father, Xiao-Zhang Xie,  
for his guidance, support and sacrifice.*

*To my mother, brother and sisters,  
for their support, patience, and encouragement.*

*To my wife, Wenzhuo Guo,  
for her love, support and sacrifice.*

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My greatest gratitude goes to my advisor Dr. Shamkant B. Navathe for his guidance and consistent support. His knowledge, perceptiveness, and inspiring discussions have guided me throughout my Ph.D. study. I also want to express my sincere gratitude to my co-advisor Dr. Sushil K. Prasad. He provided encouragement, sound advice, good company, and lots of good ideas. It was such a pleasure to work with them for the past few years; they always support me and lead me to the right direction. Without their guidance and encouragement, this thesis would not have been possible.

I would also like to thank other members of my committee, Dr. Leo Mark, Dr. Edward Omieciski, and Dr. Wai Gen Yee for their interests in my work. Their insightful comments have significantly affected the substance and presentation of my work.

I would also like to thank the faculty members from Georgia State University, Dr. Anu Bourgeois, Dr. Erdogan Dogdu, Dr. Yi Pan and Dr. Raj Sunderraman for their straightforward advices.

My fellow students made my life at Georgia Tech an enjoyable experience. I wish to thank, Rocky Dunlap, Fariborz Farahmand, Meng Guo, Weiyun Huang, Ying Liu, Minh Quoc Nguyen, Saurav Sahay, Hao Wu, Donghua Xu, Wenrui Zhao, for their friendship, encouragement, helpful discussions and all the good times we spent together. In addition, I want to thank students in GEDC DiMoS group, Akshaye Dhawan, Arthi Hariharan, Janaka Lalith and Srilaxmi Malladi, for their helpful discussions.

Finally, I am forever indebted to my parents, brother and sisters for their endless support, patience, and encouragement. I would trade anything if my father could attend my graduation ceremony. Words could not describe how much I appreciate his wisdom, guidance, support and sacrifice. Rest in Peace, Father. I owe special thanks to my wife Wenzhuo Guo for her love, sacrifice and support in these years. Without their support, this thesis would not have been possible.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xii
SUMMARY .....	xiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Mobile Peer-to-Peer Systems.....	3
1.2 Characteristics of Mobile Peer-to-Peer Systems.....	6
1.3 Motivating Applications .....	7
1.4 Research Theme and Problem Areas .....	10
1.4.1 Middleware .....	10
1.4.2 Distributed Transactions .....	11
1.4.3 Distributed Transactions over Dynamically Partitioned Networks .....	15
1.4.4 Resource Discovery and Dissemination .....	16
1.4.5 Scalability Issues in Large Subscription-Based Systems.....	18
1.5 Research Contributions.....	19
1.6 Roadmap for the Dissertation .....	21
CHAPTER 2 RELATED WORK.....	22
2.1 Middleware .....	22

2.2	Transaction Models.....	24
2.3	Quality of Service in Transaction Processing.....	27
2.4	Distributed Hash Table Based Peer to Peer Systems.....	28
2.5	Indexing Techniques in Subscription Based Systems .....	29
CHAPTER 3 SYSTEM ON MOBILE DEVICES (SyD): AN OVERVIEW.....		31
3.1	Background.....	31
3.2	Overview of SyD .....	33
3.3	Summary.....	37
CHAPTER 4 QUALITY AWARE TRANSACTION PROCESSING FRAMEWORK .....		40
4.1	Transaction Model .....	40
4.1.1	Multi-state Transaction Model.....	41
4.1.2	Quality of Service with Transactions.....	42
4.2	Adaptive Transaction .....	43
4.2.1	Group collaboration .....	45
4.2.2	A Sample Application: Distributed Calendar Application .....	46
4.2.3	Adaptive ACID Properties.....	47
4.2.3.1	Adaptive Atomicity.....	47
4.2.3.2	Adaptive Consistency .....	49
4.3	QoS Architecture .....	49
4.4	Transaction Quality Description Language (TQDL).....	51
4.4.1	Elements of a TQDL QoS specification .....	53
4.4.2	TQDL Code Generator .....	54

4.5	Summary .....	55
CHAPTER 5 PROBABILISTIC CONCURRENCY CONTROL AND TRANSACTION COMMIT PROTOCOLS..... 57		
5.1	System Model .....	57
5.2	Probabilistic Concurrency Control .....	58
5.3	A Group Based Transaction Commit Protocol .....	66
5.4	Summary .....	71
CHAPTER 6 PeerDS: A SCALABLE, HYBRID PEER-TO-PEER DIRECTORY SERVICE .....		
72		
6.1	System Architecture.....	73
6.2	Hybrid interface .....	74
6.3	Peer Heterogeneity and Load Balancing.....	77
6.3.1	Peer Heterogeneity and Virtualization.....	77
6.3.2	Load Balancing.....	80
6.4	System Model .....	82
6.4.1	Peer Virtualization Data Model .....	84
6.5	Algorithms .....	85
6.5.1	Routing Algorithm .....	85
6.5.2	Lookup .....	88
6.5.3	Top-K Peer Selection Algorithm .....	90
6.6	Theoretical Analysis .....	93
6.7	Performance Evaluation.....	97
6.7.1	Routing overhead comparison .....	99



6.7.2	Comparison of Average number of Hops Per Query.....	100
6.7.3	Impact of peer virtualization ratio.....	104
6.7.4	Impact of Peer Heterogeneity Ratio.....	106
6.7.5	Impact of the number of physical nodes.....	106
6.8	Summary.....	106
CHAPTER 7 FILTER INDEXING: A SCALABLE SOLUTION.....		108
7.1	Filter Indexing for a Single Attribute of a Resource Object.....	108
7.1.1	Ad-hoc Indexing Scheme (AIS).....	109
7.1.2	Group Indexing Scheme (GIS).....	109
7.1.3	Group-Sort Indexing Scheme (GSIS).....	109
7.1.4	B' Tree Indexing Scheme (BTIS).....	111
7.2	Filter Indexing for Multiple Conditions/Attributes of a Resource Object.....	112
7.3	Filter Indexing for Multiple Resource Objects.....	113
7.4	Performance Evaluation.....	114
7.4.1	Scalability.....	115
7.4.2	Impact of Group Size.....	118
7.4.3	Performance comparison of adding a new filter.....	118
7.4.4	Impact of the Degree of B' tree.....	118
7.5	Summary.....	119
CHAPTER 8 FUTURE WORK.....		120
8.1	Architecture Extension For Dynamically Partitioned Network.....	120
8.1.1	Messenger approach.....	120

8.1.2	Agent approach.....	122
8.1.3	Data reservation approach.....	122
8.2	Other Future Work.....	122
CHAPTER 9	CONCLUSIONS.....	124
REFERENCES	.....	127
Vita	.....	135

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	The compatibility matrix for Access Modes.....	58
Table 2.	Reference Table .....	60
Table 3.	Group Based Commit Protocol.....	69
Table 4.	finding the next peer identifier (Routing algorithm).....	86
Table 5.	lookup operation .....	89
Table 6.	Top-k Peer Selection Algorithm .....	91
Table 7.	Experimental Parameters .....	114

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Infrastructure Based Mobile Network .....	4
Figure 2.	Infrastructure-less Mobile Network.....	5
Figure 3.	SyD Architecture .....	36
Figure 4.	Multi-state transaction model .....	42
Figure 5.	Distributed Calendar Application .....	47
Figure 6.	QoS Architecture .....	50
Figure 7.	Sample transaction QoS Specification.....	52
Figure 8.	TQDL process and Code generator.....	55
Figure 9.	Dependency Graph.....	61
Figure 10.	PeerDS system Architecture .....	74
Figure 11.	Hybrid interface .....	75
Figure 12.	Scalability comparison between Push Interface and Pull Interface .....	76
Figure 13.	Routing overhead.....	79
Figure 14.	Identifier Ring.....	83
Figure 15.	Impact of peer virtualization ratio.....	102
Figure 16.	Impact of peer heterogeneity ratio .....	104
Figure 17.	Impact of the number of Physical Nodes.....	105
Figure 18.	Scalability comparison of four schemes .....	116
Figure 19.	Impact of group size.....	116

Figure 20.	Performance comparison of adding a filter.....	117
Figure 21.	Impact of Degree of B' Tree.....	117