

Norbert Schappacher

Framing Global Mathematics

The International Mathematical Union
between Theorems and Politics

OPEN ACCESS



Springer

Framing Global Mathematics

Norbert Schappacher

Framing Global Mathematics

The International Mathematical Union
between Theorems and Politics



 Springer

Norbert Schappacher
IRMA
Strasbourg, France



ISBN 978-3-030-95682-0 ISBN 978-3-030-95683-7 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-95683-7>

Mathematics Subject Classification: 01A55, 01A60, 01A61, 01A65, 01A72, 01A74

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2022. This book is an open access publication.

Open Access This book is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this book are included in the book's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the book's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Elif için

Preface

я бы, впрочем, не пускался в эти весьма нелюбопытные и смутные объяснения и начал бы просто-запросто без предисловия: понравится - так и так прочтут; но беда в том, что жизнеописание-то у меня одно, а романов два. Главный роман второй.

.....

Обойтись мне без этого первого романа невозможно, потому что многое во втором романе стало бы непонятным.

I would not, in fact, venture into these rather vague and uninteresting explanations but would simply begin without any introduction —if they like it, they'll read it as it is— but the trouble is that while I have just one biography, I have two novels. The main novel is the second one.

.....

It is impossible for me to do without that first novel, or much in the second novel will be incomprehensible.

Fyodor Dostoevsky, from the preface to *The Brothers Karamazov*¹

This book is about international relations in mathematics over the last two hundred years, since the 1820s. It focusses on institutions and organizations that were created with a view to framing the international dimension of mathematical research.

¹ Translation by Richard Pevear & Larissa Volokhonsky.

Nowadays, the organization that first comes to mind in this context is the *International Mathematical Union* (IMU), a non-governmental organization (NGO) with more than eighty member countries, whose most visible recurring activity is the orchestration of an *International Congress of Mathematicians* (ICM) once every four years. Indeed, the idea of the present book arose in 2019 from the observation that the initial variant of the IMU was founded in 1920 in Strasbourg on the occasion of the first postwar ICM. At the time it was mostly called *Union mathématique internationale* (UMI), or one of several other French names.

However, the Strasbourg Congress was not a particularly successful event in the series of ICMs, nor was it possible to celebrate in 2020 a full century of the foundation of the UMI in Strasbourg, because that *Union* had disbanded in 1932. A new, different IMU was created after World War II, in 1952. It is this second IMU which today manages the quadrennial ICMs, the Fields Medals and several other awards.

The series of ICMs had started as early as 1897, before the creation of the first IMU, and were also organized in the 1930s, when there was again no IMU. This long continuity of the congresses, which was interrupted only by the two World Wars, shows that today's IMU is the eventual outcome, but not the origin of the story of international relations in mathematics. Indeed, this story has its roots in two developments that emerged during the nineteenth century: the broad professionalization of the sciences, in particular mathematics, at universities on the one hand, and the competitive behavior of Nation states on the other.

The nineteenth century also paved the way for other crucial ingredients of today's global mathematics: the creation of research facilities and institutes outside of the universities. Their effect on international mathematical contacts and careers first became apparent between the two World Wars, in particular through Rockefeller travel grants and the founding of centers such as the *Institut Henri Poincaré* in Paris and the *Institute for Advanced Study* in Princeton.

These are the elements which place this preface—with all due respect, and in spite of its utter literary negligibility—in a position vaguely analogous to what Dostoevsky expresses in the above quote with respect to his double novel on the Karamazov Brothers. While my book converges on a portrait of today's *International Mathematical Union*, it was impossible for me to do without an exploration of its prehistory, or much in the last part would be incomprehensible.

This is why the book is organized according to three historical periods:

Part I Chap. 1–3 The long nineteenth century until the end of World War I

Part II Chap. 4–7 The interwar period 1919–1949

Part III Chap. 8–10 The past seventy years.

Readers who, for instance, do not want to go through the long nineteenth century before reading about the (first) IMU, may start reading with Chapter 4; cross-references will suggest sections from Part I for additional background.

Part I traces the historical roots of scientific, and especially mathematical internationalism. The notion of internationality which is at work here originated in nineteenth century Europe. Its introduction into the world of science was conditioned and shaped by the broad professionalization of scientific research and teaching in the nineteenth century. This is the reason why Part I focusses largely on Europe, even though Japanese and Indian mathematics are also discussed.

Chapter 1 unfolds the panorama of national vs. international activities in mathematics that took place during the nineteenth century. Side glances to other sciences—chemistry, for instance, but also history—are occasionally offered to get a clearer picture of the specific situation of mathematics. In the late nineteenth century, the search for international organizations involved even the scientific Academies inherited from the age of the enlightenment. Felix Klein's project of an *Encyclopedia of the Mathematical Sciences* assumed certain kinships between nations and mathematical disciplines. Chapter 2 looks at different views of the foundational debate in mathematics before, during and after World War I. The effects of that War on mathematics and their national as well as international dimension is discussed in Chapter 3.

Part II dwells on what may have been both the most productive and the most brutally disruptive period of the twentieth century. As a consequence of World War I, the history of this intermediary period was dominated by the USA and Europe. The life and death of the first IMU, which took place between the two World Wars, is detailed in Chapter 4.

Chapter 5 describes the way in which the Rockefeller Foundation and the Institute for Advanced Study (IAS) in Princeton contributed to opening up the international perspective of many mathematicians, especially young researchers. Chapter 6 chooses Emmy Noether's personal approach to mathematics to explain why and in which way the 1920s and in particular the 1930s gave birth to many of the most characteristic features of twentieth century mathematics. We refer to this as a process of consolidation and unification of mathematics, which strongly manifested itself in the 1930s, and we trace its effects through international conferences, book publications and review journals. Chapter 7 turns to the forced displacement of scholars as well as the profound and lasting effects of World War II on global mathematics.

Part III looks at the past seventy years, the establishment of the second IMU, and the way in which this NGO has framed the worldwide community of mathematicians. It was not our intention to duplicate or to extrapolate the well-documented, partly personal account of the first half century of the second IMU by Olli Lehto.²

We first survey the past seventy years in Chapter 8, highlighting the effects of the evolution of world politics on the international mathematical scene. This sketch of contemporary history is then complemented, in the final Chapter 10, by a condensed portrait of the principal commissions of the IMU. The subsequent final part of Chapter 10 is dedicated to an analysis of data relating to the ICMs since 1950, which

² See [Lehto 1998], Chapters 4–12.

has been realized by Birgit Petri in Darmstadt. The objective is to explore in some detail the image of mathematical excellence which the ICMs of the past seventy years have projected, for the mathematical community and the interested public.

The brief Chapter 9 in the middle of Part III gives a very condensed account of the history of ICMI, the *International Commission on Mathematical Instruction*. This Commission has a longer and more continuous history than the two International Mathematical Unions, which justifies the separate chapter, if not its brevity.

Framing. We use this term in the title as well as in the text of the book. It alludes on the one hand to common expressions such as “the framers of the constitution”—see for instance the title of Section 4.1—, and on the other to framing models from the field of sociology, psychology, and communication inspired by the works of Erving Goffman, Charles Fillmore, and Marvin Minsky. Without entering into methodological arguments about this concept, suffice it to say that the phenomenon of framing assesses how individuals or institutions may use, modify, or challenge ways to apprehend activities or situations.

By managing the organization of ICMs and the way in which Fields medalists and other prize winners are selected, the International Mathematical Union is instrumental in framing a public image of the mathematical sciences. One of the goals of this book is to describe this process from different vantage points.

“Mathematics International.” Throughout this book I shall occasionally use the expression *Science International* to allude to the underlying phenomenon whose history I am writing about.³ Sometimes this is narrowed down to *Mathematics International*. I like these crisp formulas where the word ‘international’ cannot quite make up its mind whether it is an adjective or a noun. They allow me to allude to a whole spectrum of possible organizational structures for scientific, respectively mathematical cooperation between different nation states. The book traces the historical evolution of concrete realizations of these vague notions.

Convention. As a rule, when persons are mentioned in the narrative for the first time, they are given with their full names and their years of birth and death (if applicable). If the mention is inside a quote, the additional information is added in square brackets. These data come from publicly available sources, and have been checked as best I could. (In rare individual cases I relied on personal communication.)

³ This formula has been employed by others in the same context; for instance as the title of Frank Greenaway’s book on the history of ICSU—see [Greenaway 1996]. Also ICSU’s Newsletter Magazine was called *Science International* after the 1985 meeting at Schloss Ringberg. (This is not to be confused with any of the two online scientific journals that carry the same name today.)—There was even a Canadian Television series in the 1970s—created among others by the Berkeley differential geometer Michael Spivak—which was initially called “Science International”, although it would soon be renamed to: “What will they think of next?”

Credits, Permissions, and References. The author has made all reasonable efforts to trace all rights holders to any copyrighted material used in this work. In cases where these efforts have not been successful the author welcomes communications from copyright holders, so that the appropriate acknowledgements can be made in future editions, and to settle other permission matters.

Credits for those illustrations which are reproduced thanks to a special permission requested and granted are briefly indicated in the caption. For *every* illustration reproduced in this book, we spell out the rights, to the best of our knowledge, in the first part of the References section at the end of the book.

This reference section also contains: the list of the *archives* consulted; the *publications* referred to, as well as the list of *websites* referred to in the text.

Acronyms, initialisms and shortcuts used in this book are reviewed right after this preface.

Acknowledgements. I am first of all grateful to the instances of the International Mathematical Union that entrusted this book project to me and assisted its realization step by step. The central person to be mentioned here is Helge Holden, the Secretary General of the IMU. His extremely well-informed, sober advice and helpful suggestions in numerous discussions have found their way into the final version of this book in more ways than could be enumerated here. My thanks also go to the other readers of consecutive versions of the manuscript for their comments: to Nalini Joshi, Paolo Piccione, and especially to Reinhard Siegmund-Schultze.

The staff at the IMU headquarters in Berlin was more than welcoming. Special thanks go to the IMU Archivist Birgit Seeliger, who went out of her way to suggest and facilitate my access, both to archival and published material.

I thank the former IMU secretary Martin Grötschel for sharing his reminiscences with me in a long conversation that we had in Berlin in October 2019.

Hearty thanks to Fulvia Furinghetti, Livia Giacardi, and Bernard Hodgson for opening my eyes to the world of ICMI, the International Commission on Mathematical Instruction. I am equally grateful to June Barrow-Green and Craig Fraser for sharing valuable insights with me about the International Commission on the History of Mathematics (ICHM).

I am deeply indebted to Birgit Petri for her relentless and painstaking work on the data-analysis which is presented in the final chapter, Sections 10.3–10.5. Part of this study exploits the generous access to zbMATH Open data that was arranged by Olaf Teschke via a contract signed with FIZ Karlsruhe/Leibniz Institut für Informationsinfrastruktur.

Thanks to Springer Verlag for the friendly cooperation with the production crew, which was managed by Leonie Kunz and Martin Peters. It was also Martin who suggested Barnaby Sheppard as copy-editor. Working with Barnaby was a delight.

Many other persons contributed to the final outcome of this book project in ways that are too diverse to be recalled here in detail. I have thus received valuable information or help from John Ball, Jean-Pierre Bourguignon, William McCallum, Hans-Joachim Dahms, Thomas Delzant, Vladimir Dragović, Christophe Eckes, Danielle Fauque, Catherine Goldstein, Johannes Huebschmann, Tinne Hoff Kjeldsen, Harald Kümmerle, Markus Messling, Jan Nekovář, Michael Rapoport, Volker Remmert, David Rowe, Marie-Françoise Roy, Peter Schöttler, Peter Schneider, Brigitte Stenhouse, Rossana Tazzioli, Renate Tobies, Dirk Werner, and Yuri Zarhin.

This book was generously funded by the Klaus Tschira Stiftung. The IMU and the author are particularly grateful to Frau Beate Spiegel for her enthusiastic support of the project.

Strasbourg and Berlin, January 2022

Norbert Schappacher

Acronyms, Initialisms and Shortcuts

ACM	Association for Computing Machinery – Sec. 10.1.2.3.
APS	American Physical Society – Sec. 8.2.4.1.
CC	Consultative Committee (of an ICM) – Sec. 10.2.
CCC	Copyright Clearance Center – References.
CDC	Commission for Developing Countries – Sec. 10.1.3.
CDE	Commission on Development and Exchange – Sec. 10.1.3.
CFRS	Committee on Freedom and Responsibility in the Conduct of Science – Sec. 8.2.2.3.
CI	Institution of the Cupola – Sec. 10.4.2.
CIEC	Committee for Electronic Information and Communication – Sec. 10.1.1.
CIMPA	Centre international de mathématiques pures et appliquées, Nice, France – Sec. 10.1.3.
CMI	Clay Mathematics Institute – Sec. 8.2.4.2, 8.2.5.1.
CoD	Committee on Diversity – Sec. 10.1.
COSTED	Committee on Science and Technology in Developing Countries – Sec. 8.1.2.
CTI	Confederation of Intellectual Workers – Sec. 6.2.2.
CWM	Committee for Women in Mathematics – Sec. 10.1.2.3.
DCSG	Developing Countries Strategy Group – Sec. 10.1.3.
DHST	Division of History of Science and Technology – Sec. 10.1.4.
EIM	Einstein Institute of Mathematics, Jerusalem – Sec. 7.1.
ETH	Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zürich – <i>passim</i> .
FNP	Fields Medal, Nevanlinna Prize, or Plenary Speaker – Sec. 10.4.2.
GAMM	Gesellschaft für angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik – Sec. 10.1.

HCM	Hausdorff Center of Mathematics, Bonn, Germany – Sec. 10.4.2.
IAA	International Association of Academies – Sec. 1.3.2.
IAU	International Astronomical Union – Sec. 1.4, 4.1.1.
ICC	International Criminal Court – Sec. 8.2.4.1.
ICIAM	International Council for Industrial and Applied Mathematics – Chap. 9, Sec. 10.1.
ICIC	International Committee on Intellectual Cooperation – Sec. 4.2.
ICHM	International Commission on the History of Mathematics – Sec. 10.1.4.
ICHS	International Committee of Historical Sciences – Sec. 8.2.3.1.
ICM	International Congress of Mathematicians – <i>passim</i> .
ICMI	International Commission on Mathematical Instruction – Chap. 9.
ICS	International Commission on Stratigraphy – Sec. 10.1.
ICSU	International Council of Scientific Unions – Sec. 8.1.2.
ICTP	International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy – Sec. 10.1.3.
IEB	International Education Board – Sec. 5.1.
IEUS	International Encyclopedia of Unified Science – Chap. 6, opening.
IHES	Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Bures-sur-Yvette, France – Sec. 8.3.
IHP	Institut Henri Poincaré, Paris – Sec. 5.1, 8.3.
IMA	Institute for Mathematics and its Applications – Sec. 10.1.
IMKT	International Mathematical Knowledge Trust – Sec. 10.1.1.
IMPA	Instituto Nacional de Matematica Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil – Sec. 8.3.
IMU	International Mathematical Union – <i>passim</i> .
IMUK	Internationale Mathematische Unterrichtskommission (the German name of ICMI) – Chap. 9.
IRC	International Research Council – Sec. 4.1.
ISA	International Seismological Association – Sec. 1.4.
ISC	International Science Council – Sec. 8.2.2.3.
ISSC	International Social Science Council – Sec. 8.2.2.3.
IUBS	International Union of Biological Sciences – Sec. 1.4, 4.1.1, 10.1.2.3.
IUGG	International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics – Sec. 4.1.1, 10.1.
IUGS	International Union of Geological Sciences – Sec. 10.1.
IUHPST	International Union of History and Philosophy of Science and Technology – Sec. 10.1.2.3.
IUPAC	International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry – Sec. 1.4, 4.1.1, 10.1.2.3.
IUPAP	International Union of Pure and Applied Physics – Sec. 10.1.2.3.
CIAS	Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul, Korea – Sec. 8.3.
KWU	The German <i>Kaiser-Wilhelms-Universität</i> at Strasbourg – Sec. 4.3.

LGTRS	Locally-grounded Transnational Research Site for mathematics – Sec. 5.2; 8.3.
MPC	Minor Planet Center – Sec. 10.1.
MPIM	Max Planck Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, Germany – Sec. 8.3.
MPIMN	Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Natural Sciences, Leipzig, Germany – Sec. 8.3.
MSC	Mathematics Subject Classification – Sec. 10.3.
MSRI	Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley, California – Sec. 8.3.
NGO	Non-governmental Organization – <i>passim</i> .
OC	Organizing Committee (of an ICM) – Sec. 10.2.
ONR	Office of Naval Research – Sec. 7.2.3.
OWSD	Organization for Women in Science for the Developing World – Sec. 10.1.2.3.
PC	Program Committee (of an ICM) – Sec. 10.2, 10.4.1.2.
RIMS	Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto, Japan – Sec. 8.3.
SC	Structure Committee (for the ICMs) – Sec. 10.2.
SCFCS	Standing Committee on the Free Circulation of Scientists – Sec. 8.2.2.3.
SIAM	Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics – Sec. 10.1.
SMAI	Société de Mathématiques Appliquées et Industrielles – Sec. 10.1.
TIFR	Tata Institute for Fundamental Research (TIFR), Mumbai, India – Sec. 8.3.
URSI	International Union of Radio Science – Sec. 1.4, 4.1.1.
UAI	International Academic Union – Sec. 4.2.
UIA	Union of International Associations – Sec. 1.4.
UIR	<i>Ufficio Invenzioni e Ricerche</i> , Italian Office for Inventions and Research – Sec. 3.3.1.
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization – Sec. 4.2, 8.1.2.
URSI	International Union of Radio Science – Sec. 1.4, 4.1.1.
WDM	World Directory of Mathematicians – Sec. 10.1.1.
WIAS	Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik, Berlin – Sec. 8.2.5.2.
WTO	World Trade Organization – Sec. 8.2.4.1.

Contents

Part I The Long Nineteenth Century that Made the IMU Possible: 1800–1918

1	Nationalism, Internationalism and the Sciences in the Long Nineteenth Century	3
1.1	Jobs and Journals	8
1.1.1	The Humboldt Brothers	11
1.1.2	Adolphe Quetelet and Mathematical Statistics	13
1.1.3	England	17
1.1.4	Paris and France	19
1.1.5	Italy	21
1.1.6	Gösta Mittag-Leffler	28
1.1.7	A Woman Mathematician with International Connections ..	29
1.2	Nation Branding through Science	30
1.2.1	Chemical Elements	30
1.2.2	Nation, Culture, Science	34
1.2.3	Nation and Mathematics	36
1.3	Felix Klein, a Sample of Projects he was Involved in	38
1.3.1	Attempts to Federate Pure and Applied Science	40
1.3.2	The Unlikely Resurrection of Scientific Academies as (Inter)National Agents of Science	40
1.3.3	The Encyclopedia of the Mathematical Sciences Including Their Applications	48
1.4	World Mathematics before World War I	56
1.4.1	International Congresses	58
1.4.2	Japan Goes West	63
1.4.3	Mathematical Associations	66
1.4.4	India's Entry onto the World's Mathematical Stage	70

2	Unfirm Foundations	73
2.1	Mathematics Meets Literature	75
2.1.1	Mathematics and Name Worshipping	75
2.1.2	Robert Musil	76
2.2	Hermann Weyl’s Changing Attitudes to the Foundational Crisis	77
2.2.1	The First Phase: Before the War	77
2.2.2	The Second Phase: 1917–1918	78
2.2.3	The Third Phase: After the War	83
3	World War I	85
3.1	To the Civilized World!	86
3.2	Intellectual Warfare	88
3.3	Mathematic(ian)s during World War I	90
3.3.1	Vito Volterra and Mauro Picone	91
3.4	International Congresses during the Great War	93
Part II Mathematical Consolidation		
in Times of Tempest: 1919–1949		
4	The First IMU: Triumph and Demise	99
4.1	The Framers of the Council, the IRC	100
4.1.1	The First Scientific Unions within the IRC; Preparing for the IMU	105
4.2	The UAI; the League of Nations; the ICIC	108
4.3	Strasbourg	111
4.3.1	Maurice Fréchet in Strasbourg	114
4.3.2	The IMU Founded in Strasbourg	115
4.3.3	The 1920 ICM in Strasbourg: “la grande manifestation patriotique et scientifique”	117
4.4	The Waning Influence of the IMU	121
4.4.1	John Charles Fields	123
4.4.2	“The Disagreeable Tempest which Raged at Toronto”	126
4.4.3	Bologna and the Marginalization of the IMU	130
5	Philanthropic Capital for Mathematics	139
5.1	The Rockefeller Philanthropies	140
5.2	The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton	148
6	Mathematical Consolidation and Unification in the 1930s	153
6.1	Emmy Noether’s Legacy	157
6.1.1	What is ‘Modern’ about ‘Modern Algebra’?	159
6.1.2	Emmy Noether’s <i>Auffassung</i> and its Influence	165
6.1.3	Emmy Noether’s International Network	167
6.2	Encounters, Workshops and Congresses in the 1930s	170
6.2.1	Specialized Conferences	171
6.2.2	ICMs of the Thirties	174

- 6.3 Books, Journals; *Zentralblatt* and *Mathematical Reviews* 180
 - 6.3.1 Books 180
 - 6.3.2 Journals and Politics 182
 - 6.3.3 Review Journals and Politics 185
- 6.4 Three Journeys to the West 187
- 7 Forced Migration and World War II** 195
 - 7.1 Global Redistribution of Scientists in the 1930s and 1940s 196
 - 7.2 What World War II Meant for Mathematics 202
 - 7.2.1 Searching for the Hiding Place of the IMU 203
 - 7.2.2 Mathematics for the War 205
 - 7.2.3 How World War II Reshaped the World – the Case of Mathematics 208

Part III Seventy Years of Globalization: 1950–2020

- 8 Seventy Years, Eighteen ICMs, and One IMU** 217
 - 8.1 A New IMU and an ICM in Another World 217
 - 8.1.1 United Nations, International Tribunals 218
 - 8.1.2 UNESCO and ICSU 220
 - 8.1.3 The New IMU 224
 - 8.1.4 Gathering “a Very Large Part of the Mathematical World” .. 227
 - 8.2 IMU Time Intervals 230
 - 8.2.1 Gearing up to Run Mathematics International: The New IMU 1950–1962 230
 - 8.2.2 From Moscow to Helsinki: 1966–1978 241
 - 8.2.3 New Horizons: 1982–1990 251
 - 8.2.4 Mathematics Without Borders? 1994–2002 259
 - 8.2.5 Global Reach from a New Homebase: 2006–2018 264
 - 8.3 A World Wide Web of Institutes 271
- 9 ICMI, The Resilient Nucleus of the IMU** 275
- 10 Framing Mathematical Excellence** 289
 - 10.1 The Infrastructure of the IMU 289
 - 10.1.1 The Committee for Electronic Information and Communication (CEIC) 291
 - 10.1.2 Women in Mathematics 294
 - 10.1.3 The Commission for Developing Countries (CDC) 304
 - 10.1.4 The International Commission on the History of Mathematics (ICHM) 308
 - 10.2 Framing ICMs 310
 - 10.3 The Database 314
 - 10.4 The Cupola of the ICMs 317
 - 10.4.1 Parts of the mathematical world 317
 - 10.4.2 Institutions of the Cupola 326

10.5 Framing Domains of Mathematics	339
10.5.1 Mathematical Subdomains	339
10.5.2 Fields Medalists	341
10.5.3 Plenary Speakers	342
10.5.4 Filtering the Mathematical Production	344
References	347
Index	377