Gitta Kutyniok

Affine Density in Wavelet Analysis



Author

Gitta Kutyniok Until September 2007 Program in Applied and Computational Mathematics Princeton University Princeton, NJ 08544 USA *e-mail: kutyniok@math.princeton.edu* From October 2007 Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 USA *e-mail: kutyniok@stat.stanford.edu*

Library of Congress Control Number: 2007928330 Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 42C40, 42C15, 94A12

ISSN print edition: 0075-8434 ISSN electronic edition: 1617-9692 ISBN 978-3-540-72916-7 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

DOI 10.1007/978-3-540-72949-5

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer is a part of Springer Science+Business Media springer.com

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2007

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Typesetting by the author and SPi using a Springer LATEX macro package

Cover design: design & production GmbH, Heidelberg

Printed on acid-free paper SPIN: 12070794 41/SPi 543210

Dedicated to my Parents

Preface

During the last 20 years, wavelet analysis has become a major research area in mathematics, not only because of the beauty of the mathematical theory of wavelet systems (sometimes also called affine systems), but also because of its significant impact on applications, especially in signal and image processing. After the extensive exploration of orthonormal bases of classical affine systems that has occupied much of the history of wavelet theory, recently both wavelet frames — redundant wavelet systems — and irregular wavelet systems — wavelet systems with an arbitrary sequence of time-scale indices — have come into focus as a main area of research. Two main reasons for this are to serve new applications which require robustness against noise and erasures, and to derive a deeper understanding of the theory of classical affine systems. However, a comprehensive theory to treat irregular wavelet frames does not exist so far. The main difficulty consists of the highly sensitive interplay between geometric properties of the sequence of time-scale indices and frame properties of the associated wavelet system.

In this research monograph, we introduce the new notion of affine density for sequences of time-scale indices to wavelet analysis as a highly effective tool for studying irregular wavelet frames. We present many results concerning the structure of weighted irregular wavelet systems with finitely many generators, adding considerably to our understanding of the relation between the geometry of the time-scale indices of these general wavelet systems and their frame properties.

This book is the author's Habilitationsschrift in mathematics at the Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen. It is organized as follows. The introduction presents a detailed overview of the recent developments in the study of irregular wavelet frames and of the already quite established theory of the relation between Beurling density and the geometry of sequences of timefrequency indices of Gabor systems. Furthermore, it explains our main results in an informal way. Chapter 2 reviews the terminology and notations from

VIII Preface

frame theory as well as from wavelet and time-frequency analysis employed in this book.

The notion of weighted affine density, which will turn out to be a most effective tool for studying the geometry of sequences of time-scale indices associated with weighted irregular wavelet systems, will be introduced in Chapter 3. We illustrate the new notion by giving several examples. We further compare this notion of affine density with the affine density that was independently and simultaneously introduced by Sun and Zhou [119] and point out the advantages of our notion.

In Chapter 4, we prove that the notion of weighted affine density leads to very elegant necessary conditions for the existence of general wavelet frames on the sequence of time-scale indices. The usefulness of this notion is emphasized by its utility for the study of a rather technical-appearing hypothesis known as the *local integrability condition (LIC)* of a characterization result for weighted wavelet Parseval frames by Hernández, Labate, and Weiss [77]. In fact, we show that under a mild regularity assumption on the analyzing wavelets, the LIC is in fact solely a density condition.

Chapter 5 is devoted to the study of a quantitative relation between frame bounds and affine density conditions, since the complexity of frame algorithms is strongly related to the values of the frame bounds. A striking result here is a fundamental relationship between the affine density of the sequence of timescale indices, the frame bounds, and the admissibility constant of a weighted irregular wavelet frame with finitely many generators. Several implications of this result are outlined, among which is the revelation of a reason for the nonexistence of a Nyquist phenomenon for wavelet systems and the uniformity of sequences of time-scale indices associated with tight wavelet frames. In addition, we also present the first result in which the existence of particular wavelet frames is completely characterized by density conditions. The nonexistence of very general co-affine frames is then shown to follow as a corollary.

In Chapter 6, we show that most irregular wavelet frames (and even wavelet Schauder bases) satisfy a so-called *Homogeneous Approximation Property (HAP)*. This property not only implies certain invariance properties under time-scale shifts when approximating with wavelet frames, but is also shown to have impact on density considerations. In addition to these main results, our techniques introduce some very useful new tools for the study of wavelet systems, e.g., certain Wiener amalgam spaces and — related with these objects — a particular class of analyzing wavelets.

Chapter 7 is devoted to the study of shift-invariance, i.e., invariance under integer translations, which is a desirable feature for many applications, since this ensures that similar structures in a signal are more easily detectable. The oversampling theorems from wavelet analysis show that most classical affine systems can be turned into a shift-invariant wavelet system with comparable frame properties. Most interestingly, the process also leaves density properties invariant, and the question concerning necessity of this fact for irregular wavelet systems arises. In this chapter we study the analog of this problem in time-frequency analysis and give a complete answer for irregular Gabor systems. Along the way we introduce a new notion of weighted Beurling density and derive extensions of results from H. Landau [97], and Balan, Casazza, Heil, and Z. Landau [7]. The results obtained in this chapter are not only interesting by itself, but can also be regarded as an important step towards the study of similar questions in wavelet analysis.

Acknowledgments

I am first and foremost indebted to Christopher Heil, who initiated the research for this book by inviting me as a Visiting Assistant Professor to the School of Mathematics at the Georgia Institute of Technology for the Fall Term of 2001. He has been a great friend and wonderful collaborator since then. I am deeply grateful to him for agreeing to include generalizations to multiple generators of our joint papers [73, 75], joint results on the HAP for Schauder bases (see Chapter 6), and a result from our joint paper [74] in this book. I also owe special thanks to Guido Weiss for inviting me to the Department of Mathematics at Washington University in St. Louis several times and for numerous inspiring discussions about my work. Further, I am indebted to Pete Casazza for introducing me to frame theory, for inviting me to the Department of Mathematics at the University of Missouri, and for being a great collaborator in several joint research projects.

I would like to thank Akram Aldroubi, Radu Balan, Marcin Bownik, Maria Carro, Ole Christensen, Charles Chui, Wojciech Czaja, Hans Feichtinger, Karlheinz Gröchenig, Jeffrey Hogan, Mihail Kolountzakis, Ilya Krishtal, Demetrio Labate, Zeph Landau, Ursula Molter, Amos Ron, Zouwei Shen, Javier Soria, Darrin Speegle, Yang Wang, and Edward Wilson for their interest in my work and for their many useful suggestions and hints. Further thanks go to Martin Buhmann and Christopher Heil for careful reading of parts of this book.

I am thankful to the Institutes of Mathematics at the Universität Paderborn and the Justus–Liebig–Universität Gießen for creating a pleasant working atmosphere. I would also like to acknowledge support from the German Research Foundation (DFG) in the form of DFG Research Fellowship KU 1446/5-1. This enabled me to spent one year in the U.S. during which a large portion of research for this book was developed. In this regard I am also thankful to the Department of Mathematics at Washington University in St. Louis and the School of Mathematics at the Georgia Institute of Technology for their hospitality and support during this year. I am indebted to Martin Buhmann and Tomas Sauer for supporting this research stay and consenting to my absence from the Institute of Mathematics at the Justus–Liebig–Universität Gießen during that period of time.

I would like to thank the staff at Springer-Verlag Heidelberg for editorial advise and help. I am grateful to Fernanda Laezza, Demetrio and Francesca X Preface

Labate, and Shelby, Bernie, and Lindsay Johnson for accepting me as a family member during my times in St. Louis and Atlanta and thereby contributing to make these stays a wonderful experience. Finally, I wish to thank my parents Hildegard and Norbert Kutyniok for their endless support which I can always count on.

Gießen, June 2006

 $Gitta\ Kutyniok$

Contents

1	Inti	roduction	1
	1.1	Irregular Wavelet and Gabor Frames	1
	1.2	Density for Gabor Systems	3
	1.3	Geometry of Time-Scale Indices	5
	1.4	Overview of Main Results	7
2	Wa	velet and Gabor Frames	11
	2.1	Frame Theory	11
	2.2	Wavelet Analysis	13
	2.3	Time-Frequency Analysis	16
	2.4	Amalgam Spaces	19
3	We	ighted Affine Density	21
	3.1	Definitions	21
	3.2	Basic Properties	24
	3.3	The Notion of Affine Density by Sun and Zhou	27
	3.4	Comparison of Both Notions of Affine Density	32
4	Qua	alitative Density Conditions	35
	4.1	Existence of an Upper Frame Bound	35
	4.2	Existence of a Lower Frame Bound	37
	4.3	Examples of Wavelet Systems	42
	4.4	Density of Sequences in \mathbb{R}^+	45
	4.5	Affine Density and the Local Integrability Condition	48
		4.5.1 Amalgam Spaces on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$	48
		4.5.2 A Density Version of the Local Integrability Condition .	50
		4.5.3 A Characterization of Wavelet Parseval Frames	56
5	Qua	antitative Density Conditions	59
	5.1	Outline and Comparison with Previous Work	59
			0.1

XII Contents

	$5.3 \\ 5.4 \\ 5.5 \\ 5.6 \\ 5.7$	A Fundamental Relationship63The Nyquist Phenomenon75Sufficient Density Conditions for Wavelet Frames76Existence of Special Weight Functions81Co-Affine Systems82		
6	Hon	nogeneous Approximation Property		
	6.1	Amalgam Spaces and the Continuous Wavelet Transform 87		
	6.2	The Basic Class \mathcal{B}_0		
	6.3	The Homogeneous Approximation Property		
		for Wavelet Frames		
	6.4	The Comparison Theorem for Wavelet Frames		
	6.5	Density Results for Wavelet Schauder Bases100		
7	Weighted Beurling Density and Shift-Invariant Gabor			
	Syst	5ems		
	7.1	Motivation and Outline of Chapter		
	7.2	Weighted Beurling Density		
	7.3	Littlewood–Paley Type Inequalities		
	7.4	Equivalent Definition of Weighted Beurling Density		
	(.5)	Beurling Density, Frame Bounds, and Gabor Generators 119		
	1.0	Snift-Invariant Gabor Systems121		
Ref	eren	ces		
Ind	ex			